



Environmental Planning Committee

Monday 3 July 2023
6.30pm

Agenda



Item No: R1 Recommendation to Council
Subject: **FEEDBACK FROM THE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT ON THE ST GEORGE GREEK ORTHODOX CHURCH**
Authors: Eleanor Banaag, Senior Strategic Heritage Officer
Kristy Welfare, Acting Team Leader Heritage
Approvers: Anne White, Manager Strategic Planning & Place
Rosemary Bullmore, Acting Director Planning & Place
File No: 23/106622
Purpose of the Report: To provide Council with the feedback from the community engagement regarding the St George Greek Orthodox Church.
To obtains Councils approval to proceed with a planning proposal to list the St George Church, Rose Bay as a local heritage item in Schedule 5 and on the heritage maps of the Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014.
Alignment to Delivery Program: Strategy 4.2 Conserving our rich and diverse heritage.

Recommendation:

- A. THAT Council endorse the preparation of a planning proposal to list the *St George Greek Orthodox Church and war memorial complex and setting, including interiors and moveable heritage at 90-92 Newcastle Street, Rose Bay (Lots 15 & 16, Sec D, DP5092)* as a local heritage item in Schedule 5 and on the Heritage Map of the *Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014* and resolve to forward this to the Department of Planning and Environment with a request for a Gateway determination to allow public exhibition.
- B. THAT Council request the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces (or delegate) authorise Council as the local plan-making authority in relation to the planning proposal, to make the local environmental plan under section 3.36 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

Executive Summary:

This report has been prepared in response to resolution of Council from 27 March 2023 that engagement be undertaken seeking community feedback regarding the proposed local heritage listing of the St George Greek Orthodox Church in Rose Bay.

Community Engagement commenced on 24 May 2023 and formally closed on 9 June 2023. However, late submissions continued to be received and are considered within this report. Having considered the issues raised in submissions, and the confidential legal advice, Council staff maintain their recommendation that Council resolves to proceed with a planning proposal to list the St George War Memorial Church at 90-92 Newcastle Street Rose Bay (Lot 15 & 16, Sec D, DP 5092) as a local heritage item in Schedule 5 and on the heritage maps of the Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014 (Woollahra LEP 2014).

Discussion:

Background

*On 6 March 2023 the Environmental Planning Committee (EPC) considered a report on the outcomes of the Places of Public Worship Heritage Study, and the advice of the Woollahra Local Planning Panel (see **Attachment 1**). Subsequently, on 27 March 2023 Council resolved:*

- A. *THAT Council note the advice provided by the Woollahra Local Planning Panel on 13 December 2022 regarding the planning proposal to list five places of worship as local heritage items in Schedule 5 and on the Heritage Map of the Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014.*
- B. *THAT Council endorse the planning proposal as contained at Attachment 3 of the report to the Environmental Planning Committee to list the following five sites as local heritage items in Schedule 5 and on the Heritage Map of the Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014 and resolve to forward this to the Department of Planning and Environment with a request for a Gateway determination to allow public exhibition:*
- i. Paddington Church of Christ complex and setting, including interiors and moveable heritage at 116-122 Paddington Street, Paddington (Lots 20, 21 & 22, Sec 1, DP 180)*
 - ii. St Andrews Scots Presbyterian Church complex and setting, including interiors and moveable heritage at 2 Carlisle Street, Rose Bay (Lot 1 DP 724928 & Lot 1 DP 966535)*
 - iv. Sydney Chevra Kadisha, including interiors and moveable heritage at 172-178 Oxford Street, Woollahra (Lot 1 DP 85862)*
 - v. Vaucluse Uniting Church complex and setting - former 1909 Vaucluse Congregational Church building and former 1960 A-frame church building, including interiors and moveable heritage at 3 Russell Street, Vaucluse (Lot 6, Sec 5, DP 4400).*
- C. *THAT Council request the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces (or delegate) authorise Council as the local plan-making authority in relation to the planning proposal, to make the local environmental plan under section 3.36 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.*
- D. *THAT Council endorse a nomination to the State Heritage Register of Sydney Chevra Kadisha, including interiors and moveable heritage at 172-178 Oxford Street, Woollahra (Lot 1 DP 85862).*
- E. *THAT Council receives and notes the recommendation for the St George Greek Orthodox Church, and staff further investigate the heritage significance of the complex having regard to the issues raised regarding religious freedoms, and that the community are engaged to establish if there are elements of the building that are appropriate for heritage listing, investigates whether there is an active Development Application (DA) for the site on the corner of Old South Head Road and Newcastle Street, Rose Bay and/or if further legal advice is required.*
- F. *THAT Council request that staff update the heritage inventory sheet for this Sydney Chevra Kadisha, having considered the late correspondence from URBIS that was tabled at the Environmental Planning Committee meeting of 6 March 2023.*

In response to Parts A, B, C & F of the Council resolution, on 20 April 2023 Council staff lodged an updated planning proposal with the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) requesting a Gateway determination to allow public exhibition to list four places of public worship as local heritage items. We anticipate that the planning proposal will be placed on public exhibition in the coming months.

In response to Part D, Council staff will progress a nomination to the State Heritage Register for the Sydney Chevra Kadisha, including interiors and moveable heritage.

Community Engagement

In response to Part E of the Council resolution, Council staff developed and implemented a community engagement strategy aimed at collecting information and feedback from the community regarding their thoughts on the significance of the Church. The formal community engagement occurred from Wednesday 24 May 2023 to Friday 9 June 2023 (inclusive). A small number of submissions were received after 9 June, and these have been considered as part of this report.

The exhibition included the following:

1. Notices in the Wentworth Courier newspaper, appearing on 24 and 31 May 2023.
2. The preparation of a fact sheet providing general information on the issues, with a QR code linking to further information online through Council's dedicated YourSay Woollahra webpage (see **Attachment 2**).
3. A dedicated page on Council's YourSay Woollahra webpage which contained a survey: <https://yoursay.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/community-engagement-st-george-church-rose-bay> This page had 197 views, 84 downloads and seven people participated in the survey;¹
4. Notifications and electronic copies of the fact sheet was sent to the following community groups and organisations by email:
 - Vaucluse Progress Association
 - Watsons Bay Association
 - Watsons Bay and Vaucluse Social History Group
 - Double Bay Residents Association
 - The Paddington Society
 - Woollahra History and Heritage Society Inc.
 - Rose Bay Residents Association
 - Darling Point Society
 - Head office, Greek Orthodox Community of NSW (Lakemba)
 - Sydney Greekfest festival committee
 - The Greek Orthodox Community Home for the Aged (Earlwood)
 - Hellenic Club (Sydney)
 - Rose Bay Public School
 - Rose Bay Secondary College
5. Notifications and printed fact sheet was sent to the following community groups:
 - St George Church, Rose Bay
 - St Sophia and Three Daughters Greek Orthodox Church, Paddington
 - Greek Orthodox Cathedral of St. Sophia & God's Wisdom, Paddington
 - Greek Orthodox Parish of the Holy Trinity, Surry Hills
 - Greek Orthodox Parish of the Dormition of our Lady, Darlington
 - Greek Orthodox Parish of St. Spyridon, Kingsford
 - Greek Orthodox Parish of St. Gerasimos, Leichhardt
 - Greek Orthodox Cathedral of the Annunciation of Our Lady, Redfern
 - Greek Orthodox Parish of St. Catherine, Mascot
 - Greek Orthodox Archdiocese
6. The printed fact sheet was sent to approximately 400 owners of properties in the immediate vicinity of the Church. This included residences in both the Woollahra and Waverley LGA.

Submissions

In response to the notification, we received the following:

- Seven individual submissions received via Council's YourSay page.
- Ten individual submissions received via email.

¹ This dedicated webpage included copies of all relevant committee reports, minutes, previous submissions and late correspondence (including objections) that related to this matter.

Of the 17 individual submissions, 12 submissions (70%) were in support of heritage listing of the St George Church. There were two submissions demonstrating significant additional research that has been undertaken to help inform their submission. A copy of the redacted submissions are attached at **Attachment 3**.

In addition to the individual submissions received, a group submission (or petition) was submitted by the Greek Orthodox Parish of St George Rose Bay. The submission included a covering letter outlining the overall sentiment of the group which is opposed to the proposed heritage listing, and contained 984 signatories and 218 comments. A copy of this correspondence is at **Attachment 4**.

The general issues raised in the objection to the heritage listing, and a response from staff is provided in the table below.

Issues	Staff response
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The building has no heritage value and does not fit the criteria for local significance.</i> <i>The architecture of the Church is not significant.</i> <i>The building is not old enough to be heritage listed.</i> <i>There are older buildings that should be listed.</i> <i>The Church has been heavily modified/upgraded/improved in order to respond to the congregation's needs.</i> <i>Heritage listing a new building is not in the spirit of what the heritage listing process was meant for.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A comprehensively researched Heritage Study was undertaken by Council staff, with relevant qualifications and experience to make an assessment of heritage values. The assessment of significance for the Church was made in accordance with best practice conservation methods and the then Heritage NSW guidelines and standard criteria for assessing heritage significance that all heritage places are assessed against. The age of a building is not the only defining quality for a building to be considered to have heritage significance. The Places of Worship study determined that the building, albeit 'modern' relative to other buildings, was significant for other reasons such as its representative architectural style, its association with a prominent architectural firm, as an example of a war memorial, and its obvious social significance to the community and congregation. Despite modifications and upgrades, the Church building still maintains the above qualities and 'intangible' values. The purpose of heritage listing is not only to protect places that are old, but to also preserve places that reveal and tell the story of our past, for future generations to appreciate.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heritage listing is an impediment to future development of the Church.</i> <i>Heritage listing will hinder future growth of the congregation by limiting the building's functions.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no policy or control in Council's LEP or DCP that denies development for heritage places. Heritage listing will protect the significant values of a place as it grows into the future, and any DA would be considered and assessed on its merits. The LEP controls for heritage aim to manage changes to a building so that they appropriately conserve the significance of a place. This may mean that alterations, upgrades, and new developments like additions and extensions are guided and considered in their design to be more sensitive to the heritage building.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Church community should be in charge of how it manages the building and how it is used to support its functions.</i> <i>Heritage listing will mean Council will take control of what Church needs to provide for the congregation. The Church will not be free to adapt.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The owners of heritage listed places are still in charge of managing their properties within the necessary planning frameworks. Heritage listing does not mean Council will take over management of the place. Rather, future proposals to adapt the place will be required to consider and respond to the identified values of the place.

Issues	Staff response
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Church is being adequately protected by being taken care of and well-maintained by an active congregation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council will not become caretaker of a property, or be responsible for its maintenance after heritage listing. Heritage listing of the site is not a reflection of the adequacy of the current care and maintenance of the site. Rather, listing seeks to protect those identified heritage values into the future.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Church community has not been consulted.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This community engagement process sought to consult with the community about what is important about the place, to inform the significance assessment. Should the planning proposal proceed, the process will involve a formal public exhibition period where the community can further comment on the proposal for heritage listing.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heritage listing will result in a significant financial impact with regards to heritage compliance costs.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage listing may require additional studies and management documents during the DA process which will have financial implications. In the context of helping to facilitate the ongoing management of the identified heritage values of the site, the requirement for additional documentation is not considered unreasonable.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heritage listing is a revenue stream for Council. It is an intrusion of politics into religion.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage listing means that a heritage place is listed in Schedule 5 of the Woollahra LEP 2014. There are no fees collected for including a place in the LEP, nor are there any ongoing fees for keeping a place heritage listed. Council does not receive addition fees for assessing DAs for heritage places.
<p><i>St Therapon Thornleigh is heritage listed, and parts of the building are now falling into disrepair because of the dealing with government bodies.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whilst this comment is noted, there is no evidence to suggest that there is a nexus between heritage listing and listed items falling into disrepair. There are mechanisms in the Woollahra LEP 2014 to allow for minor and maintenance works to be carried out without requiring development consent under the provisions of Clause 5.10(3) where those works would not adversely affect the heritage significance of the site.

Based on the issues raised in the submissions, Council's position regarding the significance of the St George Greek Orthodox Church and its ability to meet six of the seven criteria for assessing heritage significance has not changed. Taking into consideration the community feedback in addition to the information and research presented in the Places of Worship Heritage Study, the St George Greek Orthodox Church meets the following significance criteria for inclusion into the Schedule 5 of the Woollahra LEP 2014:

- **Historical Significance** – a Church built as a war memorial commemorating Australian soldiers of Greek Heritage.
- **Associative significance** – associations with Australia's migrant communities, as well as its association with Australia's defence history.
- **Aesthetic significance** – the Church is a fine example of the work of the prominent Inter-War architectural firm Fowell, Mansfield & Maclurcan. Joseph Charles Fowell is recognised as a prominent Australian twentieth century architect, particularly renowned for his ecclesiastical architecture. It incorporates elements of the Byzantine style typically associated with ANZAC memorials, with the traditional Greek Orthodox Church style. Within its residential setting, the Church has landmark qualities.

- **Social significance** - although social significance has not been formally assessed, it is assumed the St George Greek Orthodox Church is held in high esteem by members of the Parish and the broader Greek Orthodox community of Sydney. It also has social significance as a war memorial specifically recognising members of the Greek community in Australia that served in WW1 and WW2, as well as Australians that served and died on military campaigns in Greece.
- **Rarity** – is a rare example of a Greek Orthodox Church that is also a war memorial (there is only one other in Sydney – St Spyridon, Randwick).
- **Representativeness** - The St George Greek Orthodox Church is fine and representative of the ecclesiastical buildings designed by architectural practice Fowell Mansfield & Maclurcan.

Council staff therefore maintain the recommendation that a planning proposal to list the St George Greek Orthodox Church in Rose Bay in the Woollahra LEP 2014: Schedule 5 as a local heritage item, should proceed.

In response to Part E of the Council resolution and with regard to other elements of the building that warrants heritage listing, a number of comments from the feedback expressed that the building contained highly decorative and fine interiors including the iconoclasts. Based on this feedback, Council staff recommend that the proposed heritage listing which includes “interiors” should be maintained.

In response to Part E of the Council resolution regarding religious freedoms, Council staff obtained independent legal advice, and have no concerns proceeding with the planning proposal.

In response to Part E of the Council resolution and with regard to any active development applications (DA) on the site, Council staff have found that a DA for sites at 88, 90-92, 94 and 96 Newcastle Street was approved by the Joint Regional Planning Panel in December 2011. The development involves the consolidation of all sites, the retention of the Greek Orthodox Church at 90-92 Newcastle Street, the demolition of dwelling houses and the former St Pauls Anglican Church, and the demolition of the ancillary buildings, and construction of a childcare centre and residential flat building.

At present, there is no evidence in Council’s system to indicate that these works have commenced, with the buildings at 88, 94 and 96 Newcastle Street still extant. However, we note that the approved DA seeks to retain the St George Greek Orthodox Church building, an outcome that does not contradict the intentions of the proposed local heritage listing.

Options:

Noting the issues raised in submissions, and based on the Places of Public Worship Heritage Study, staff recommend progressing a planning proposal to list the St George Greek Orthodox Church and war memorial complex and setting, including interiors and moveable heritage at 90-92 Newcastle Street, Rose Bay (Lots 15 & 16, Sec D, DP5092) as a local heritage item in Schedule 5 and on the Heritage Map of the Woollahra LEP 2014.

To streamline the plan-making process, the Minister can delegate some plan-making powers to Council for routine matters. In this case, Council may request delegation to exercise the functions of the Minister to make an LEP under section 3.36 of the Act. Part B of the recommendation for this report deals with this request. After public exhibition, the planning proposal and submissions received will be reported to Council.

Alternatively, Council may decide not to progress with a planning proposal to heritage list the St George Greek Orthodox Church.

Community Engagement and / or Internal Consultation:

Refer to the Discussion section of this report, under sub-heading "Community Engagement".

Policy Implications:

Should Council resolve to progress with a planning proposal there will be policy implications by amending the Woollahra LEP 2014.

Financial Implications:

Should Council resolve to progress with a planning proposal, there will be financial implications to implement the advertising and community engagement process.

Resourcing Implications:

Should Council resolve to progress with a planning proposal to finalisation, staff resources will be associated with the public exhibition process, liaising with the DPE and Parliamentary Counsel.

Conclusion:

In response to a Council resolution from 27 March 2023, Council staff have engaged with the local community to obtain their feedback on the heritage significance of the St George Greek Orthodox Church.

Having considered the matters raised in the submissions, staff recommend that Council resolves to proceed with a planning proposal to list the St George Greek Orthodox Church and war memorial complex and setting, including interiors and moveable heritage as a local heritage item.

Attachments

1. Environmental Planning Committee - Agenda - 6 March 2023 (Attachments removed)
(*circulated under separate cover*)
2. St George Church, Rose Bay - Heritage Values fact sheet
3. St George Church, Rose Bay - Submissions
4. St George Church, Rose Bay - Petition - 16 June 2023
5. Legal advice regarding religious freedoms, 29 March 2023 (*circulated under separate cover*) - **Confidential**

St George Church Rose Bay Heritage Values

“Your say
Woollahra”



IMAGE BY WOOLLAHRA COUNCIL

ST GEORGE CHURCH, ROSE BAY

St George Church, Rose Bay

Woollahra Council is seeking the views of the community on a proposed heritage listing for the St George Church in Rose Bay.

The *Heritage Study: Places of Worship, Woollahra LGA* report prepared by Council in 2022 found that the church, located at 90-92 Newcastle Street in Rose Bay, meets the criteria for local heritage listing based on its historical and aesthetic qualities, its association with Greek migrant communities in the area following WW1, as well as being a rare example of a Greek Orthodox church that is also a war memorial.

We want to hear from you

So that we can better understand the site's significance, we would like to hear what you believe is important about the church. This may be information about the site, the building, building elements, and the icons and memorabilia inside the church.

We would also like to hear information about special occasions and community events in which you have participated. Perhaps you can recollect stories about dignitaries and other important people visiting the church?

For more information visit:
yoursay.woollahra.nsw.gov.au

Scan the QR code below to read the *Places of Worship* study, and share your feedback and stories via our YourSay page.

You can also email us at:

records@woollahra.nsw.gov.au

or write to us by mail and quote **SC7214 Submissions**

Your Say Feedback
Woollahra Council
PO Box 61,
Double Bay NSW 1360

Submissions can be made from Wednesday 24 May 2023 until 4.30pm on Friday 9 June 2023.



Scan me to
find out more.



Im in the NO HERITAGE LISTING camp for St George Greek Orthodox Church. I sit on the church board , and have been a financial member of the church for decades. We, the community, built this church but I certainly don't see why our religious business is the business of planners. Our community especially youth following is growing and we want to keep all options for the growth of our church possible

Founder of Mr Roses and Roses Only

From: [Virginia Rundle](#)
To: [Records](#)
Subject: SC7214
Date: Tuesday, 6 June 2023 8:15:41 AM

Dear Sir,
Architecturally and historically I believe this G O Church should be preserved and heritage listed.

Kind regards
Virginia Rundle

From: [Andrew Woodhouse](#)
To: [Records](#)
Subject: Amended: Council file ref SC7214: support for Greek church heritage listing
Date: Wednesday, 7 June 2023 10:30:49 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

AMENDED SUBMISSION

I refer to our submission below and wish to slightly amend the submission by deleting the word "misconceived" in line 3 of the conclusion, underlined, and substituting the phrase "in error and requires review".

Thank you for your assistance.

Andrew Woodhouse [REDACTED]

Submission from Woollahra History and Heritage Society Inc.
7th June 2023

Email records@woollahra.nsw.gov.au council file ref SC7214

**RE: Support for heritage listing of St George Greek Orthodox Memorial Church,
90-92 Newcastle Street, Rose Bay (the site) complex and its setting.**



The planning proposal for the heritage listing of St George was considered by the Council's Environmental Planning Committee on 6 March 2023 and then by the full Council on 23 March 2023.

In this submission "Urbis report" refers to their report dated 11th November 2022 project reference P0043655 by Urbis Heritage planning lodged on behalf of the church.

We support the recommendation for heritage listing.

1 Heritage is only about one thing: significance.

This landmark site has significance in the following areas:

- (a) **Architectural.** It was designed Fowell, Mansfield and Maclaren. They have been described as "key practitioners" in post-war (1940-60) ecclesiastical design by Richard Apperley in "Identifying Australian Architectural Styles ...".

Fowell was a prominent architect. See
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_Charles_Fowell

It is highly intact and in excellent condition with a high degree of integrity. It is considered to be a fine and representative example of that firm's ecclesiastical buildings. The church building is described as an "interesting example of a Greek Orthodox War Memorial Church, which combines elements of the Byzantine style typically associated with an ANZAC memorial within the traditional Greek Orthodox Church style". It incorporates simple and restrained design elements of these styles. The traditional west front derived from Romanesque architecture, the bell tower with open top cupola and the traditional triple-arch entry. As the Urbis report concedes, "it is a modern building based on traditional form consisting of blonde face brick, concrete detailing and an entry triptych of three large concrete classical arches painted white over the large panelled timber front entrance doors. It features a brick campanile bell tower with rendered open cupola and free-standing cross atop the cupola. Internally, the church ceiling is a triple-vaulted timber board ceiling. The iconography and other liturgical elements are certainly not understated."

The interior of the church also incorporates a blend of traditional Greek architecture, Byzantine influences and the spiritual symbolism of the Orthodox faith in terms of iconography and distinctly Orthodox decoration.

See below: Interior of St George Rose Bay.



It is a richly decorated interior incorporating candlesticks, chandeliers, icons, frescoes, a reredos, banners, lecterns and crosses and other items of opulent decoration in gold. It is not "modest and austere" as claimed by the church's Urbis report and "not readily recognisable as a Greek Orthodox Church particularly as the eastern orthodox tradition."

The Urbis report claims "the church is undecorated" p 915/998

https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0019/272422/EP-Agenda-6-Mar-2023.pdf. **We disagree.**

Urbis claims the site "does not exemplify any significant architectural style" p 885 of 998 and "is not a representative example of the work of the architect". **We disagree.**

(b) Social. The NSW Governor The Hon Margaret Beazley AC KC has said at the building's 60th Anniversary last year "... as a place in our community ... three things were of particular importance. The first is community ... Also ... commemoration because it is a church ... dedicated to service [of] people ... The Church is significant for its association with migrant communities that settled in NSW following World War II. Since its construction and consecration, the church building has been the focus for worship and the continuity and celebration of Greek customs and traditions in Sydney's Eastern suburbs."

It is assumed the St George Greek Orthodox Church is held in high esteem by members of the Parish and the broader Greek Orthodox community of Sydney because, apart from its regular Sunday church services, the building has been a focal point for the local Greek Orthodox community for significant celebrations and events including weddings, baptisms, funerals and religious activities for more than five decades, thereby providing an important part in the community's sense of place.

According to the Parish's own website, St George Rose Bay is now a community of over 2000 Orthodox Christians in the eastern suburbs of Sydney.

The Urbis report claims "it is undetermined if it has social significance. See p 885 of 998 https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0019/272422/EP-Agenda-6-Mar-2023.pdf.

We disagree: its social significance is confirmed.

(c) Historical. The site is a war memorial and was dedicated in 1962. On 24 November 1962, the Governor of NSW declared **the Church as a war memorial** dedicated to the memory of Australian soldiers of Greek origin who laid down their lives for Australia in the two world wars and to Australian servicemen who died in Greece during World War II. It is listed on the NSW War Memorial register and is therefore *a priori* a heritage item.

The Urbis report claims the ANZAC plaque does not have a substantial role in the day-to-day use of the church see p 915 of 998 https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0019/272422/EP-Agenda-6-Mar-2023.pdf. **We disagree.** This statement fails to properly understand the commemorative role of the plaque set into the very fabric of the church in a prominent position as an inchoate part of the site. It is a day-to-day reminder of the sacrifice of those who died and for whom the church was originally constructed.

e

As part of the Rose Bay Estate subdivision of the former Point Piper Estate, the St George Greek Orthodox Church and war memorial have local historical significance. It reflects the rapid pattern of development of Rose Bay in the post-World War II era and the growing presence of migrant communities that settled in the area during this time. A

site history is given at pp 403-406 of 998 and 478-486 (including original plans)
https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0019/272422/EP-Agenda-6-Mar-2023.pdf

)
)

Conclusions

The Urbis report claims "[the church] does not reach the threshold of local significance" pa 917 of 998.

We disagree for the reasons listed above.

The Urbis report is misconceived and fundamentally flawed.

The church claims it needs to demolish the church for expansion and heritage listing will thwart its aims.

This view misunderstands **the role of heritage listing**.

Heritage listing does not fossilise a site.

It provides a framework for alterations and adaptive re-uses which will protect its significance for future generations.

After all, this site does not belong to the church or any one group: we belong to it.

Item R2 of the Woollahra Council meeting dated 6th March 2023 notes that the site's heritage values are recognised by the Woollahra Local Planning Panel [p365 of 998 on-line edition].

We agree with those conclusions and **recommend the site complex and its setting for heritage listing**.

We wish to address council when this matter comes to council.

Thank you for your consideration.

Andrew Woodhouse

Secretary and Public Officer
Woollahra History and Heritage Society Inc.
PO Box [REDACTED] Double Bay 1360
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Records](#)
Subject: St George Parish Council Submission REF SC7214
Date: Friday, 9 June 2023 3:33:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Mayor and Councillors,

The Parish of St George Church, represented by the church council, wishes to reiterate the position that the Parish has taken the position to oppose the heritage listing of the Church building.

We would like to emphasize that the heritage listing of the building of the Parish of St George, will not benefit the community but will rather constrain the growth of the Parish, and will have a negative impact on the local community. We request that our future needs are considered, allowing us to make changes to the church building as our future congregation changes.

The St George community does not believe that the Parish is linked to the fabric of the church building. There is a significant social and community aspect of attending church, lifelong friendships are formed, comradery and a general feeling of belonging, when attending church. This community benefit cannot be heritage listed. The community benefit is not contained in the bricks, mortar, or design of the building but rather, the bricks and mortar surround the social significance. What occurs inside our church building, in our church hall, or on our church grounds is the glue that binds the community, not the glass or timbers or bricks from which the building has been constructed. The community and social benefit to the Parish is intangible, and cannot be heritage listed.

If Woollahra Council proceeds with the heritage listing of the St George Church building, the St George community would insist that certain items with very significant religious value and meaning, be excluded from the heritage listing. These items would need to be confirmed with our Parish Priest Fr Gerasimos, and as a minimum, include the Holy Altar table and church iconography.

For the above reasons, we kindly request that the council does not proceed to heritage list the church building.

Sincerely,

The Parish Council

*Greek Orthodox Church of St George,
90-92 Newcastle Street Rose Bay, NSW 2029*
Church Office: [REDACTED]
Website: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]

From: [Paul Darveniza](#)
To: [Records](#)
Subject: Greek church Newcastle st
Date: Friday, 9 June 2023 2:22:08 PM

An eyesore ugliest building in new castle st,rose bay,Sydney and perhaps Australia should be demolished or made white with blue trimmings inside very good

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Catherine De Giorgio](#)
To: [Records](#)
Cc: [Lucinda Regan](#)
Subject: Submission re: Proposed Heritage Listing SC7214
Date: Monday, 12 June 2023 12:15:07 PM

Dear Records

I am writing re: the proposed heritage listing for the St George Church in Rose Bay.

As a resident of Rose Bay, I am very supportive of this culturally significant building being heritage listed for the following reasons:

- It is a well known landmark in our area
- it is a war memorial with unique architecture not readily found in Sydney's east
- it is a community meeting place (and has been for a long time)
- it represents the cultural diversity of the area

Furthermore, if this building was NOT heritage listed:

- it risks being altered and/or demolished (much in the same way that the church at the corner of OSHR and Dover Road has been ruined by developers)
- the community risks losing not only a place of worship but also a meeting place, landmark, war memorial and unique architecture
- it is highly likely that in the not too distant future the site will be sold off to developers to make way for yet more apartments - which will not only result in increased traffic (and other infrastructure stress) but would be yet another step towards making Rose Bay Village into a faceless concrete outpost with no identifying features.

It is imperative that Council takes steps to retain what heritage and landmarks we have left in Rose Bay. It is in the public interest to safeguard these land marks for the community and also for future generations. It is reprehensible to expose a war memorial site to developers. Accordingly, I am very supportive of the heritage listing going ahead for this site.

Kind regards
Catherine De Giorgio
■ Wilberforce Avenue
Rose Bay
■

From: [george vardas](#)
To: [Eleanor Banaag](#)
Subject: RE: Community engagement on St George Church
Date: Saturday, 10 June 2023 4:44:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

Hi Eleanor,

I am fine and trust you are also well.

I was planning to post a short additional note on the Council's website but work commitments overtook me last week. Because I wrote my op-ed on the Church before the cutoff date is it possible for you to include it as a "deemed" submission?

Also, for your information, I chanced across the website for the Greek Orthodox Archdiocese's Sunday Schools in Sydney at <https://sundayschool.lychnos.org/> and, lo and behold, St George Rose Bay features as one of the churches drawn against the Sydney CBD skyline! It is of course the third church from the left in the image below:



Regards

George

From: Eleanor Banaag [Eleanor.Banaag@woollahra.nsw.gov.au]
Sent: Thursday, 8 June 2023 4:15 PM
To: george vardas
Subject: Community engagement on St George Church

Dear George,

I hope you have been well.

In case you weren't aware, the Community Engagement piece on the St George Church Rose Bay is online through Woollahra Council's YourSay page. The page contains the link to the article that you wrote and kindly gave permission for us to include on the webpage. We have included both the original article, and the Greek-translated version you provided. Thank you again.

I also wanted to let you know that all members of the community are welcome to contribute through this platform. Any feedback collected through this platform will form a report to Council collating the community's response. While we have your article in hand, you may also want to submit some feedback through this platform so that it is captured in the official report to Council as part of the results on the engagement process. People who made submissions will also be informed of when the report will be presented to Council, with an invitation to address Council or submit additional correspondence for their consideration.

Here is the webpage <https://yoursay.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/community-engagement-st-george-church-rose-bay>. Again, entirely up to you if you would like to make another submission through this avenue. **The submissions will be closing tomorrow, 9 June at 4:30pm.**

Kind regards,
Eleanor

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Records](#)
Subject: Petition AGAINST heritage listing St George Church Rose Bay
Date: Friday, 16 June 2023 11:44:04 AM
Attachments: [Heritage Listing Letter 2023.pdf](#)

To Woollahra Council

Please find attached cover letter and link below to petition with 1000 signatories and comments petitioning against the heritage listing of St George Church Rose Bay.

<https://www.ipetitions.com/petition/heritage-listing-st-george-church-rose-bay>

St George Parish-Community

--

*Greek Orthodox Christian Church of St George,
90-92 Newcastle Street
Rose Bay, NSW 2029
Church Office: [REDACTED]
Website: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]*



GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE OF AUSTRALIA

**GREEK ORTHODOX PARISH OF
ST GEORGE, ROSE BAY**

Ancient Christianity ~ Living Community

16 June 2023

Woollahra Council
PO BOX 61
Double Bay NSW 1360

PETITION AGAINST HERITAGE LISTING
ST GEORGE CHURCH ROSE BAY

Dear Councillors and Planning Committees,

We, the undersigned, being parishioners and attendees of St George Church, object to the heritage listing of our Church.

We feel that we, the Parish-Community and its people, are the custodians of our heritage, a two thousand year old heritage... as compared to the listing of a relatively modern building, built in 1962. Many of the original features have been replaced, with improvements having being made over the years... new steps, new doors, new floors, new windows, new lighting, entirely modified community hall and more. We consider a heritage listing an impediment that restricts our freedom to improve our church further. Future generations may wish to add iconography, change the look of the edifice and façade to attract more weddings, etc.

Our priority is growth, this means tending to and investing in our people. Bricks and mortar are a means to serving that end, not the end in and of itself. We want to be able to move adaptively into the future without hindrance.

We are on a growth trajectory and have a vision of developing our church's property to facilitate that growth. We have an existing D.A., approved in 2011, which will be for our community's benefit. Some adaptation of the church building may be required to harmonise with the adjoining facilities.

We thank you for understanding our position.

(1000 signatories attached, plus more comments from individuals)

GREEK ORTHODOX PARISH OF ST. GEORGE, ROSE BAY
90 - 92 NEWCASTLE STREET ROSE BAY, NSW 2029
ABN: 92 000 226 924
PHONE: [REDACTED] FR GERASIMOS: [REDACTED]

EMAIL: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
TRANSPORT: TRAIN TO BONDI JUNCTION
THEN BUS 386 (OR 387) TO NEWCASTLE ST

From: [Rozy Dorizas](#)
To: [Records](#)
Subject: SC7214 - Proposed Heritage Listing of St George, Rose Bay
Date: Tuesday, 20 June 2023 10:50:58 AM
Attachments: [Screenshot 2023-06-20 at 09.05.39.png](#)
[Screenshot 2023-06-20 at 09.05.04.png](#)

Dear Eleanor

Thank you for your time on the telephone this morning and for accepting my feedback past the due date.

My connection to Parish of St George Rose Bay goes back to when my parents arrived in Australia in 1961. Living in Bondi, their parish was St George, Rose Bay which they patronised every Sunday. Both my brother and I were christened at the church in 1967 and 1966 respectively, I was married at the parish, my two sons were also christened at the parish and both my parents funerals were conducted at the parish.

My love and passion for St George Rose Bay parish goes beyond being a parishioner – I have been a member of the Board of Directors for the last 11 years and 6 of those as Board Secretary.

With respect to the Proposed Heritage Listing of St George, Rose bay I would like to **SUPPORT** this proposal 100%.

The transformation of the interior aspect of the church has been subtle, tasteful and respectful of the gorgeous historical bones and detailing however, in the last few years, tasteless ornate additions have ruined the original ambience and character. Additionally, there has been mention by the Parish Priest of moving internal walls, changing the internal and external paint colour (please refer to attachments), removing the stunning wood panelling on the side walls, hanging icons by nailing into this majestic wood panelling and removing the intricate wood panelling on the ceiling and replacing with masonite.

As it currently stands architecturally and aesthetically, I believe St George Church, Rose Bay is one of the most elegant and majestic Greek Orthodox places of worship in New South Wales. Every detail within the original church has been thoughtfully considered, selected and applied to create a resplendently elegant interior and exterior.

Additionally, I also believe the cultural significance of this magnificent space is of the highest importance. In a changing world where there is so much uncertainty, there needs to be a constant, a place of comfort, sentimental attachment, familiarity and memories which grounds us and provides us with stability and internal peace. By allowing architectural and design change to the interior and exterior, this connection and these memories will sadly slowly diminish.

I believe there needs to be respect and acknowledgement of the historical, emotional and cultural significance of this space and both the interior and exterior should be heritage listed.


As a student of Interior Architecture (UNSW), my passion lies in venerating and valuing our history and believe that historical buildings are witnesses to the aesthetic and cultural history of a place and city helping to give people a sense of place and connection to the past.

I strongly believe that St George Church should be preserved in all its glory and authenticity to ensure environmental, cultural, social, aesthetic/architectural and spiritual values are protected at all costs.

Yours sincerely

Rozy Dorizas

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of Rozy Dorizas.



From: [Douka Kontopos](#)
To: [Records](#)
Subject: SC7214 Proposed Heritage listing of St George Church Rose Bay
Date: Tuesday, 20 June 2023 12:38:49 PM

Eleanor,

I SUPPORT the proposal heritage listing of St George Church Rose Bay, as it has an architectural uniqueness unlike other Greek Churches as with its internal wooden paneling and design.
I am parishioner of this area.

D.Kontopos

Mob [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

From: [Nick Andriotakis](#)
To: [Records](#)
Subject: Proposed Heritage Listing St George Greek Orthodox Church 90-92 Newcastle Street Rose Bay - REF SC7214
Date: Sunday, 25 June 2023 7:44:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[ANZACS OF WAVERLEY BURIED IN GREECE 1941.docx](#)
[WAVERLEY ANZACS BURIED IN GREECE.xlsx](#)
[WOOLLAHRA ANZACS BURIED IN GREECE 1941.docx](#)
[WOOLLAHRA ANZACS BURIED IN GREECE.xlsx](#)
[Waverley Woollahra Australians Served in Greece .xlsx](#)

General Manager
Woollahra Council

I am a parishioner of the St George Greek Orthodox Church at Rose Bay , and a member of the parish and reside in Rose Bay . I am also secretary of the Joint Committee for the Commemoration of the Battle Crete and the Greek Campaign. The Joint Committee research , educates and commemorates Australians that served on mainland Greece and on the island of Crete in World War II and Australians of Greek Heritage that served Australia in all conflicts . St George Greek Orthodox Church was created over 60 years ago by a nascent but visionary Greek Australian Community and was dedicated as a War Memorial in memory of the Australians that lost their lives in Greece and to Australian's of Greek heritage that served Australia .

The Waverley and Woollahra Local Government Areas are essentially the region of the Parish of St George Greek Orthodox Church.

The Church is identified in the Australian War Memorial Places of Pride
<https://placesofpride.awm.gov.au/memorials/266053>

St George Greek Orthodox Church at Rose Bay is also registered on the NSW State War Memorial Register <https://www.warmemorialsregister.nsw.gov.au/content/st-george-greek-orthodox-church>

This submission is made in favour of the proposed heritage listing of the church .

Hundreds of families from the Parish area had members that served in the Battle of Crete and the Greek Campaign in WW2 and in WW1 through the Greek island of Lemnos and the Salonika Front . I have attached a spreadsheet of my preliminary findings so far . It includes Army , Airforce and Navy personnel and Nurses . Some of them served in WW1 & WW2

In early April 1941, the 2nd Anzac Corps was formed in Greece . The 1st Anzac Corps was formed in 1915 at Gallipoli and the Greek island of Lemnos played a crucial and important role serving as the training ground , Hospital Base, Safe Harbour, and supply hub . Some 1686 Australians and New Zealanders from WW1 and WW2 were lost in Greece and over half were never found or their remains identified . These Australians from both World Wars lie in the Commonwealth War Grave Cemeteries at Athens , Crete , Lemnos , Rhodes ,Thessaloniki and regional Macedonia . I have attached to this submission Australians lost in Greece from the

Waverley and Woollahra Local Government Areas .

Many Australian service personnel also became prisoners of war, so Greece was the last place that they experienced in freedom before capture and confinement for years captive in the cold and cruel Stalag Prisoner of War camps .

In addition, some 2,500 Australians of Greek Heritage served Australia in WW1 & WW2 .

After both wars , Australians that served in Greece and their families met the new Greek Australian community.

This mutual connection of Australia with Greece through these military ties inspired the founders of St George Greek Orthodox Church to dedicate it as a War Memorial . Even the Governor of New South Wales Sir Eric Woodward, who opened the church in 1962 served in Greece in World War II. The Governor's mention and the dedication of the war Memorial is inscribed in the bronze plaque located to the right of the entry stairs .

St George Greek Orthodox Church was created by a Greek Australian community some of which came pre-WW2 and others post WW2 . The architecture is a modernist approach with a restrained Byzantine Orthodox style reflecting a desire to make Australia home whilst also reflecting their Greek Orthodox heritage . This community adapted from the start and was a pioneer in using the English Language in its services and in communication with parishioners .

From the Flemish Bond face brickwork topped by the bright white bell tower to the clean interior , the building is a fusion of Greek and Australian building elements reflecting a modern society.

Many parishioners have approached me and expressed support of the proposal to list the church as a heritage item .

Should the Heritage listing be made ,St George Greek Orthodox Church will be the first purpose built Greek Orthodox Church, that comes under the auspices of the Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of Australia to be listed as a Heritage Item .

The Parish committee opposes the heritage listing of the church . It's a decision made without consultation to its members , and a petition seeking opposition to the heritage listing has been circulated electronically all over Sydney containing a negative argument against Heritage without outlining the alternative positive heritage listing benefits .

I respectfully request the council to ignore this one-sided petition in its deliberations as it is not reflective of the considered views of the Parish Community .

The heritage listing would prevent future unsympathetic alterations and will protect the integrity of the design vision of the founding community ensuring the Church's continuance in a respectful way. Should any future modifications alterations, additions be required the Heritage listing would not necessarily prevent them but ensure that such addition/ alterations are carefully considered and respectful to the original design intent .

A Heritage listing will positively enhance the building's longevity .

My only request is that should the church be listed as a Heritage Item and in the future is abandoned then the Holy Relics of the church should be allowed to be removed in accordance with the Greek Orthodox Rites .

Regards

Nick Andriotakis BE (Hons)

Secretary


Joint Committee for the
Commemoration of the
Battle of Crete & The Greek Campaign

Ph

Fax:

Mobile:

Email:



Your Say Woollahra

Share your views

May 24, 2023 - Jun 09, 2023

Project: St George Church, Rose Bay

Tool Type: Form

Activity ID: 253

Exported: Jun 20, 2023, 12:41 PM

Exported By: Kirag

Response No:
1

Contribution ID: 7586
Member ID: 3736
Date Submitted: Jun 09, 2023, 04:25 PM

Q1 We invite you to submit your feedback and stories. Would you like to:

Multi Choice Type your comments here

Q2 Please type your comments here:

Long Text

St George Rose Bay - What is important about the church.
Myself, and thousands of other people have been married at St George Rose Bay. Many couples, including those from outside the Eastern Suburbs, choose this church to marry in and for its beautiful frontage and stair entrance appeal (many a wedding gathering has taken photos on the famous steps).
Many children have been baptised in St George Church Rose Bay going back several generations.
Many funeral services have taken place at St George Rose Bay.
St George Church Rose Bay was built in Rose Bay over 60 years ago from scratch and through parishioner donations.
St George Church Rose Bay has the most accessible parking (particularly on Newcastle Street) than other Greek Orthodox churches. I know many people who travel to our church for ease of parking.
The nearest Greek Orthodox churches such as St Sophia in Darlinghurst and Church of the Holy Trinity in Surry Hills are very difficult to find parking due to their inner-city locations.
Unlike other churches, St George Church Rose Bay has bus stops within walking distance to the church which is excellent for those without cars or the elderly, especially for services occurring at night, they can safely walk to the bus stops.
The hall of St George Church Rose Bay has seen many official events as well as recitals and children's performances.
The inside of St George Church Rose Bay is special and sacred and the chandelier designs are in the typical style of many other Greek Orthodox churches and are not at all contemporary).
St George Church Rose Bay is the only Greek Orthodox Church in this part of Sydney and this part of the Eastern Suburbs and needs to be preserved so it doesn't become part of a development. The smaller churches (where the preschool and cross fit gym are) should also be preserved. No other part of Sydney has three churches in a row, which beautifully represents the "village" feel of Rose Bay.
Thank you to Woollahra Council for its comprehensive Heritage Study and its efforts to preserve religious sites in the area such as St George Church Rose Bay and thereby acknowledging the diversity of the community in the area. I was not aware of the rich history relating to the establishment of St George Greek Orthodox Church including William Piper owning the land, the "Sonoma" residence built before it, the architects that designed it, that it was dedicated as a War Memorial with a Greek and Australian connection to both World Wars. Additionally, the exterior significances (eg the loaves and fishes reference motives, the commemorative plaque and foundation stone) and interior (stunning massive icons on either side of the walls, its iconostasis, parquetry and ceilings).
Whilst dignitaries and church leaders have visited St George Church Rose Bay, the most important people that have visited the church are the parishioners and other visitors from all over Sydney, Australia and the world who have been attending the church for over 60 years and will continue to do so if it's preserved.
If not heritage listed, at least ensure the church is never demolished so that future generations will continue to experience it.
Maria Loutsopoulos
Rose Bay

Q3 Please upload your file.

File Upload

Q4 Your Email:

Email

Q5 Your Name:

Short Text

Maria Loutsopoulos

Response No:
2

Contribution ID: 7585
Member ID: 3735
Date Submitted: Jun 09, 2023, 12:18 PM

Q1 We invite you to submit your feedback and stories. Would you like to:

Multi Choice Type your comments here

Q2 Please type your comments here:

Long Text
Dear Council
I am a local resident and ratepayer. I am saddened that there is opposition to a heritage listing of the church.

I have always enjoyed seeing the gatherings there- weddings, Saint Days and festivities when people spread on to the steps and forecourt.
In the sea of housing and apartments the church is a beautiful and elegant structure- immediately recognisable as a church, and its arches and bell tower easily of Mediterranean architecture.
The church and its people remind me of the richness of our modern culture and the places Australians have come from.

It saddens me we are having this debate. Councils own report states that it meets 6 of 7 heritage listing criteria, when only one is required and that it is also a war memorial for the
Being a 'simple place of worship'- in no way diminishes it.
These are our sacred sites.
Who are we as a community if we don't protect them what meaning do we have left as a community?

What would we be doing if this was an indigenous sacred site?
Please preserve our heritage and cultural sites

Q3 Please upload your file.

File Upload

Q4 Your Email:

Email

Q5 Your Name:

Short Text Chris Thomas

Response No:
3

Contribution ID: 7582
Member ID: 3733
Date Submitted: Jun 07, 2023, 01:21 PM

Q1 We invite you to submit your feedback and stories. Would you like to:

Multi Choice Type your comments here

Q2 Please type your comments here:

Long Text To whom it may concern,

I live around the corner from the St. George Greek Orthodox Church in Rose Bay. It and the many other Churches in Rose Bay have Immense social and heritage significance to residents and visitors alike.

As Council's heritage advisors have documented, the Church fulfils six of the seven criteria for heritage listing, as prescribed by the NSW Heritage Assessment guidelines. A building only needs to meet one criterion to be listed as a heritage item. In meeting six criteria, the Church is considered to be of exceptional heritage significance.

As an architect and heritage consultant, I fully appreciate and support Council's intention to heritage list this building to preserve it's highly significant architectural form and setting, within the suburb, for futura generations to observe and enjoy.

Q3 Please upload your file.

File Upload

Q4 Your Email:

Email

Q5 Your Name:

Short Text Patricia Sims

Response No:
4

Contribution ID: 7581
Member ID: 3732
Date Submitted: Jun 06, 2023, 08:21 AM

Q1

We invite you to submit your feedback and stories. Would you like to:

Multi Choice

Type your comments here

Q2

Please type your comments here:

Long Text

I understand that this iconic and historic Greek Orthodox Church is a war memorial and it should be preserved.

Q3

Please upload your file.

File Upload

Q4

Your Email:

Email

Q5

Your Name:

Short Text

Virginia Rundle

Response No:
5

Contribution ID: 7580
Member ID: 214
Date Submitted: Jun 05, 2023, 07:52 PM

Q1 We invite you to submit your feedback and stories. Would you like to:

Multi Choice Type your comments here

Q2 Please type your comments here:

Long Text St George Church Rose Bay is a significant site recognising the immigration of Greeks into the eastern suburbs during the 20th century and predominantly post WW2. It symbolises the richness of the Greek community and all that they have brought to the area. I love hearing the bells ringing in the local area and knowing that a wedding or service is taking place. I love the fact it is a monument to the heritage of our area and a reflection of the success of our multicultural nation. I think it is important to protect it and ensure it is not demolished for housing developments.

Q3 Please upload your file.

File Upload

Q4 Your Email:

Email

Q5 Your Name:

Short Text Michele Havas

Response No:
6

Contribution ID: 7579
Member ID: 3730
Date Submitted: Jun 04, 2023, 09:19 AM

Q1 We invite you to submit your feedback and stories. Would you like to:

Multi Choice Type your comments here

Q2 Please type your comments here:

Long Text Firstly the design of the church has nothing of an heritage architectural building.
Secondly I believe Australia is an inclusive country where everyone can follow is belief without fear. If this church become heritage it goes against the orthodox faith if one day our community plummet and that church is not required anymore it will need to be demolish. An Orthodox Church can't be decommissioned and used for other activities.
Thirdly as an inclusive country you should listen to the first concerned, if the parishioner of that church don't want the heritage listing you should follow their wish.

Q3 Please upload your file.

File Upload

Q4 Your Email:

Email

Q5 Your Name:

Short Text Marc

Response No:
7

Contribution ID: 7572
Member ID: 3726
Date Submitted: May 27, 2023, 03:06 PM

Q1 We invite you to submit your feedback and stories. Would you like to:

Multi Choice Type your comments here

Q2 Please type your comments here:

Long Text I am a Director of the Greek Orthodox Parish of St George Rose Bay. I am a long standing member of our parish community.

I am not in favour of the proposed heritage listing.

The Urbis report submitted to Council clearly articulates why the building does not meet the criteria.

A heritage listing restricts the ability of the Church to evolve to meet the needs of the community it serves as these change over time.

Council is here to represent the interests of the community. This proposal has been put forward by planners that have no connection to the community. Our community does not want this.

Q3 Please upload your file.

File Upload

Q4 Your Email:

Email [REDACTED]

Q5 Your Name:

Short Text Dean Haritos



GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE OF AUSTRALIA

GREEK ORTHODOX PARISH OF
ST GEORGE, ROSE BAY

Ancient Christianity ~ Living Community

16 June 2023

Woollahra Council
PO BOX 61
Double Bay NSW 1360

PETITION AGAINST HERITAGE LISTING
ST GEORGE CHURCH ROSE BAY

Dear Councillors and Planning Committees,

We, the undersigned, being parishioners and attendees of St George Church, object to the heritage listing of our Church.

We feel that we, the Parish-Community and its people, are the custodians of our heritage, a two thousand year old heritage... as compared to the listing of a relatively modern building, built in 1962. Many of the original features have been replaced, with improvements having being made over the years... new steps, new doors, new floors, new windows, new lighting, entirely modified community hall and more. We consider a heritage listing an impediment that restricts our freedom to improve our church further. Future generations may wish to add iconography, change the look of the edifice and façade to attract more weddings, etc.

Our priority is growth, this means tending to and investing in our people. Bricks and mortar are a means to serving that end, not the end in and of itself. We want to be able to move adaptively into the future without hindrance.

We are on a growth trajectory and have a vision of developing our church's property to facilitate that growth. We have an existing D.A., approved in 2011, which will be for our community's benefit. Some adaptation of the church building may be required to harmonise with the adjoining facilities.

We thank you for understanding our position.

(1000 signatories attached, plus more comments from individuals)

GREEK ORTHODOX PARISH OF ST. GEORGE, ROSE BAY
90 - 92 NEWCASTLE STREET ROSE BAY, NSW 2029
ABN: 92 000 226 924
PHONE: [REDACTED] FR GERASIMOS [REDACTED]

EMAIL: [REDACTED]
WEBSITE: www.stgeorgerosebay.org.au
TRANSPORT: TRAIN TO BONDI JUNCTION
THEN BUS 386 (OR 387) TO NEWCASTLE ST

Signatures

1. Name: Talin Mayson on 2023-06-09 00:45:18
Comments:

2. Name: Elysa tsougranis on 2023-06-09 00:50:01
Comments:

3. Name: Maria Tsolakis on 2023-06-09 00:50:08
Comments:

4. Name: Michael Papanikolas on 2023-06-09 00:50:40
Comments:

5. Name: Esther Meehan on 2023-06-09 00:51:18
Comments:

6. Name: Bill Kassaras on 2023-06-09 00:51:18
Comments: I do not support the heritage listing of the Church, it's not a period style building.

7. Name: Jenna spyros on 2023-06-09 00:51:30
Comments: We support our community and church and do not wish for the church to be imposed with heritage restrictions

8. Name: Oliver T on 2023-06-09 00:54:07
Comments:

9. Name: Sarah Foster on 2023-06-09 00:56:31
Comments:

10. Name: Maria Dovolis on 2023-06-09 01:00:32
Comments:

11. Name: Macedonia Contos on 2023-06-09 01:00:50
Comments: I object to the proposal that the church be made a heritage listing

12. Name: Angela Brooks on 2023-06-09 01:01:35
Comments:

13. Name: John K on 2023-06-09 01:02:49
Comments:

-
14. Name: Kathleen Peters on 2023-06-09 01:03:02
Comments:
-
15. Name: John Contos on 2023-06-09 01:04:18
Comments: I object to the heritage listing
-
16. Name: Pela Kalogerakis on 2023-06-09 01:05:15
Comments:
-
17. Name: Marie Vlassis and Hugo McDonald on 2023-06-09 01:07:42
Comments: A heritage listing for our church is inappropriate and unnecessary. Our church needs constant upkeep which the community will ultimate help with. It will put a burden on tax and rates payers. Please reconsider this intention.
-
18. Name: Nihtaroula Christou on 2023-06-09 01:10:05
Comments:
-
19. Name: Father George Fayjaloun on 2023-06-09 01:10:38
Comments: I would like Saint George Greek Orthodox Church in Rose Bay to stay the way it is now because it's an amazing Church and our heritage is from 2000 years and more, but not from now
-
20. Name: Maria Bajic on 2023-06-09 01:11:54
Comments:
-
21. Name: Marion Vass on 2023-06-09 01:12:05
Comments: Totally against Heritage Listening for newish building. Totally against outside influences and control
-
22. Name: Koula Giakoumatos on 2023-06-09 01:12:08
Comments:
-
23. Name: Angela Koulouris on 2023-06-09 01:12:32
Comments:
-
24. Name: Sue Mayson on 2023-06-09 01:13:34
Comments:
-
25. Name: Spiro Liacos on 2023-06-09 01:14:05
Comments: The church should be allowed to make modifications if (or when) the need arises without having to go through an extra set of hurdles.
-
26. Name: Ian agamalis on 2023-06-09 01:16:42

Comments:

-
27. Name: Joanna Giakoumatos on 2023-06-09 01:17:56
Comments:
-
28. Name: Trish M on 2023-06-09 01:19:12
Comments:
-
29. Name: Fr Savas Pizanias on 2023-06-09 01:23:43
Comments:
-
30. Name: George Panaretos on 2023-06-09 01:23:59
Comments:
-
31. Name: Kristy on 2023-06-09 01:25:56
Comments: This is not old enough to be a heritage listed property
-
32. Name: John K on 2023-06-09 01:26:02
Comments:
-
33. Name: Bill Bokolis on 2023-06-09 01:26:05
Comments:
-
34. Name: Paula Tsihlis on 2023-06-09 01:27:11
Comments:
-
35. Name: Victoria Baronet on 2023-06-09 01:27:50
Comments: I do not support the heritage listing of the St George Greek Orthodox Church at Rosebay.
-
36. Name: Evana Varvaressos on 2023-06-09 01:28:16
Comments:
-
37. Name: Nick Jacomas on 2023-06-09 01:28:58
Comments: The criteria for Heritage listing is questionable when one has an in-depth view and knowledge of the church building.
-
38. Name: Dean Atgemis on 2023-06-09 01:29:30
Comments:
-
39. Name: Josette Hajjar on 2023-06-09 01:29:42
Comments: Against heritage listing anything, our church of St Therapon is going through

this battle, our hall is about to fall apart and it is heritage listed, we have mentally, emotionally and physically all suffered because of dealing with government bodies. Don't let this happen to your beautiful church St George; and please pray for our church - St Therapon Thornleigh.

40. Name: Maria Bokolis on 2023-06-09 01:29:50
Comments:

41. Name: Alexander imvriotis on 2023-06-09 01:41:20
Comments:

42. Name: LINDA EFTIMIOU on 2023-06-09 01:42:10
Comments: I HAVE LIVED IN ROSE BAY FOR THE PARST 50 YEARS I HAVE BEEN GOING TO THE CHURCH

43. Name: Elpis Bates on 2023-06-09 01:46:52
Comments:

44. Name: Maria Z on 2023-06-09 01:51:45
Comments: A heritage listing would be of no benefit to the church itself, nor it's parishioners.

45. Name: Adelaide Moore on 2023-06-09 01:52:28
Comments:

46. Name: Michelle Harlow on 2023-06-09 01:53:42
Comments:

47. Name: Eva Condoleon on 2023-06-09 01:54:08
Comments:

48. Name: George Houridis on 2023-06-09 01:54:15
Comments:

49. Name: Fr Branko on 2023-06-09 01:54:22
Comments:

50. Name: James Paniaras on 2023-06-09 01:56:26
Comments:

51. Name: Anastasios Sakkas on 2023-06-09 02:00:23
Comments:

-
52. Name: George Georgopoulos on 2023-06-09 02:00:32
Comments:
-
53. Name: Philip Raftopoulos on 2023-06-09 02:05:40
Comments:
-
54. Name: Angela Artelaris on 2023-06-09 02:05:47
Comments:
-
55. Name: Maria Anastasi on 2023-06-09 02:05:47
Comments:
-
56. Name: Jean-Luc on 2023-06-09 02:07:34
Comments:
-
57. Name: Lita Zervas on 2023-06-09 02:07:38
Comments:
-
58. Name: Anthony Tsamoglou on 2023-06-09 02:07:51
Comments: Please don't heritage list our church
-
59. Name: Anastasia Hadjisavas on 2023-06-09 02:12:45
Comments:
-
60. Name: K Panaretos on 2023-06-09 02:14:08
Comments:
-
61. Name: Konstantina Sokaris on 2023-06-09 02:14:20
Comments: This is not a heritage building barely 60yrs old and no real distinguishing parts that remain from that era
-
62. Name: George Georgiadis on 2023-06-09 02:14:49
Comments:
-
63. Name: George Logothetis on 2023-06-09 02:16:19
Comments:
-
64. Name: Demetrios Hughes on 2023-06-09 02:17:28
Comments: Please leave the preservation of this sacred place of worship in the hands of the church and the faithful. The proposed heritage listing will unnecessarily restrict future efforts of the faithful who treat the building with great reverence and only seek to continue in the tradition of generations past. Please speak to the priest and community more before pushing ahead with such plans.

-
65. Name: Constantinos Damianidis on 2023-06-09 02:17:28
Comments:
-
66. Name: James Phillips on 2023-06-09 02:20:10
Comments: I am against the Church to be heritage listed as written by St George Parish
-
67. Name: Loukia Andrews on 2023-06-09 02:21:37
Comments:
-
68. Name: Angelique Haritos on 2023-06-09 02:23:03
Comments: Do not heritage list St George Church Rose Bay. It is a community church for the people.
-
69. Name: E J on 2023-06-09 02:25:43
Comments:
-
70. Name: Chris Valanidas on 2023-06-09 02:27:10
Comments:
-
71. Name: Mary Revelas on 2023-06-09 02:32:12
Comments:
-
72. Name: Anastasia on 2023-06-09 02:35:05
Comments:
-
73. Name: Maria Liberiou on 2023-06-09 02:43:01
Comments:
-
74. Name: Frank Cirnigliaro on 2023-06-09 02:43:52
Comments:
-
75. Name: Effy baraboutis on 2023-06-09 02:45:36
Comments:
-
76. Name: Vicki Terkalas on 2023-06-09 02:46:02
Comments:
-
77. Name: Areti-Maria Mareti Polydoropoulos Antonas on 2023-06-09 02:46:37
Comments:
-
78. Name: George Fokas on 2023-06-09 02:46:59

Comments:

-
79. Name: George hajjar on 2023-06-09 02:48:35
Comments:
-
80. Name: Ellen Rezitis on 2023-06-09 02:51:07
Comments:
-
81. Name: Charmaine kondos on 2023-06-09 02:52:23
Comments: No I don't think it should be allows
-
82. Name: Mirna M on 2023-06-09 02:55:39
Comments: St. George will help!
-
83. Name: Samuel Saenz on 2023-06-09 03:00:11
Comments:
-
84. Name: Michael Tarpouka on 2023-06-09 03:03:16
Comments: I oppose listing as a heritage building. Listing is wrong - it does not make any sense.
-
85. Name: Angela on 2023-06-09 03:06:06
Comments:
-
86. Name: Rita on 2023-06-09 03:07:53
Comments:
-
87. Name: Katherine on 2023-06-09 03:09:20
Comments:
-
88. Name: Stephanie Morakeas on 2023-06-09 03:11:09
Comments:
-
89. Name: Chris Karonin on 2023-06-09 03:15:35
Comments: 1962 doesn't
-
90. Name: Thomas M on 2023-06-09 03:16:35
Comments:
-
91. Name: spiros gabriel on 2023-06-09 03:17:54
Comments: I strongly feel that a heritage listing for a building constructed in 1962 is completely inappropriate and not in the spirit of the listing process that has been applied

for many years.

-
92. Name: Stephanie Kouloubas on 2023-06-09 03:23:38
Comments:
-
93. Name: Jamieson Noutsatos on 2023-06-09 03:25:42
Comments:
-
94. Name: Anastasia Morakeas on 2023-06-09 03:28:21
Comments: Support of St George Church to NOT be a heritage listed building
-
95. Name: Helen on 2023-06-09 03:28:47
Comments:
-
96. Name: Con Varvaressos on 2023-06-09 03:29:43
Comments: There is no need for heritage listing on a 1962 church as it will impede improvements that may need to be made.
-
97. Name: Angelique Tambakis on 2023-06-09 03:31:05
Comments:
-
98. Name: Stella R on 2023-06-09 03:32:03
Comments:
-
99. Name: Anthony on 2023-06-09 03:32:14
Comments:
-
100. Name: Irene Georgiadis on 2023-06-09 03:32:41
Comments:
-
101. Name: Caroline Halkeas on 2023-06-09 03:34:59
Comments:
-
102. Name: Jenny Bourel on 2023-06-09 03:35:01
Comments:
-
103. Name: Con Kapellos on 2023-06-09 03:35:21
Comments: Leave the church alone. No heritage listing required for this building constructed in 1962.
-
104. Name: Steve Fradelakis on 2023-06-09 03:36:11
Comments:

-
105. Name: Nick Sklavos on 2023-06-09 03:36:22
Comments:
-
106. Name: Dianne Tsitouras on 2023-06-09 03:36:47
Comments:
-
107. Name: Anna Mistilis on 2023-06-09 03:38:02
Comments:
-
108. Name: Angela T on 2023-06-09 03:39:18
Comments:
-
109. Name: Taso S on 2023-06-09 03:40:29
Comments:
-
110. Name: Jim Siriotis on 2023-06-09 03:41:24
Comments: Lets support our Church.
-
111. Name: Gracia Paul on 2023-06-09 03:42:15
Comments:
-
112. Name: Paul Holland on 2023-06-09 03:46:31
Comments:
-
113. Name: Edward Walker on 2023-06-09 03:47:02
Comments:
-
114. Name: Justin Nikolic on 2023-06-09 03:47:58
Comments: I am absolutely opposed to the church being heritage listed!
-
115. Name: Alice Nasr on 2023-06-09 03:48:38
Comments:
-
116. Name: Vicky Patty on 2023-06-09 03:51:12
Comments:
-
117. Name: Sarah on 2023-06-09 03:51:58
Comments:
-
118. Name: Katy Georgiadis on 2023-06-09 03:56:20
Comments:

119. Name: Adel Boles on 2023-06-09 04:00:12
Comments:

120. Name: George on 2023-06-09 04:02:39
Comments:

121. Name: J E on 2023-06-09 04:05:40
Comments:

122. Name: Anna on 2023-06-09 04:06:38
Comments:

123. Name: Mirko Ocokoljic on 2023-06-09 04:06:52
Comments:

124. Name: George Photios on 2023-06-09 04:07:18
Comments:

125. Name: VAGIOS HATZIAVGERIS on 2023-06-09 04:08:15
Comments:

126. Name: Paul Frazis on 2023-06-09 04:09:44
Comments:

127. Name: Zafirea on 2023-06-09 04:17:10
Comments:

128. Name: Leeah Otis on 2023-06-09 04:30:04
Comments:

129. Name: Steve on 2023-06-09 04:37:09
Comments:

130. Name: Effie Nikolas on 2023-06-09 04:40:15
Comments:

131. Name: Sam thomas on 2023-06-09 04:42:17
Comments:

132. Name: Flora Joannou on 2023-06-09 04:44:01
Comments:

133.	Name: Danae Papalexiou	on 2023-06-09 04:45:05
	Comments:	

134.	Name: dean constantine	on 2023-06-09 04:45:27
	Comments:	

135.	Name: Joanna Hancock	on 2023-06-09 04:45:39
	Comments:	

136.	Name: Evangelos Aspiotis	on 2023-06-09 04:46:08
	Comments:	

137.	Name: Nicole Varvaritis	on 2023-06-09 04:46:25
	Comments:	

138.	Name: Michael George	on 2023-06-09 04:46:26
	Comments:	

139.	Name: Barbara Zakos	on 2023-06-09 04:46:31
	Comments:	

140.	Name: Kathy E	on 2023-06-09 04:46:51
	Comments:	

141.	Name: Anna Primikiris	on 2023-06-09 04:47:08
	Comments:	

142.	Name: Angelo Venardos	on 2023-06-09 04:47:47
	Comments:	Unwarranted bureaucratic intervention in community affairs

143.	Name: Athena I	on 2023-06-09 04:47:57
	Comments:	

144.	Name: Antonio Picello	on 2023-06-09 04:48:30
	Comments:	

145.	Name: Karoly Robert Kaufmann	on 2023-06-09 04:48:53
	Comments:	I agree with that there is no need for this church to be heritage listed. I would completely trust that Father Gerasimos has the best interests of the church in his support of this petition and I trust his judgment completely

-
146. Name: Magdalene Bablis on 2023-06-09 04:49:04
Comments: this building has no heritage factors . Council needs to re-examin the heritage parameters
-
147. Name: Charles Theo Comino on 2023-06-09 04:49:11
Comments:
-
148. Name: Frank poulos on 2023-06-09 04:49:39
Comments: I do not agree with heritage listing this building
-
149. Name: Jack Zoumaras on 2023-06-09 04:50:04
Comments:
-
150. Name: Helen Liossis on 2023-06-09 04:50:11
Comments: I am against the listing of St George Church as a heritage item.Older buildings have been demolished and this has no heritage significance
-
151. Name: Natalie Ferrari on 2023-06-09 04:50:29
Comments:
-
152. Name: Marianne Zouroudis on 2023-06-09 04:51:11
Comments:
-
153. Name: John Golfin on 2023-06-09 04:51:21
Comments: I am opposed to Heritage Listing. Surely council has more urgent and relavant things to worry about...
-
154. Name: Maria Poulos on 2023-06-09 04:51:31
Comments:
-
155. Name: nicholas lazaridis on 2023-06-09 04:52:37
Comments:
-
156. Name: John and Eugenia Jonson on 2023-06-09 04:52:52
Comments: This building has no heritage significance
-
157. Name: Tina Moisisdis on 2023-06-09 04:53:05
Comments:
-
158. Name: Paul Walton on 2023-06-09 04:53:45
Comments:
-

-
159. Name: Chloe on 2023-06-09 04:54:28
Comments:
-
160. Name: Damian on 2023-06-09 04:54:52
Comments:
-
161. Name: Andreas Wyrozebski on 2023-06-09 04:55:23
Comments:
-
162. Name: Theodore Venardos on 2023-06-09 04:55:44
Comments:
-
163. Name: Bethany Wright on 2023-06-09 04:56:35
Comments:
-
164. Name: CHRISTOPHER KOUMI on 2023-06-09 04:56:53
Comments: The church has no heritage significance at all.
-
165. Name: Ross Anastasi on 2023-06-09 04:57:03
Comments:
-
166. Name: James t on 2023-06-09 04:57:32
Comments:
-
167. Name: James Cantrill on 2023-06-09 04:57:47
Comments:
-
168. Name: Cathy Kalimnios on 2023-06-09 04:58:29
Comments:
-
169. Name: Jimmy Drossos on 2023-06-09 04:58:46
Comments:
-
170. Name: Melissa Mavromatis on 2023-06-09 04:58:49
Comments:
-
171. Name: Patricia Manolas on 2023-06-09 04:59:06
Comments: I totally agree
-
172. Name: Con koolbanis on 2023-06-09 04:59:24
Comments:
-

-
173. Name: Dimitra Hatziplis on 2023-06-09 04:59:39
Comments:
-
174. Name: Dimitrios Houridis on 2023-06-09 04:59:56
Comments: The church should not be heritage listed
-
175. Name: Steve Argyros on 2023-06-09 05:00:25
Comments:
-
176. Name: Mary Cantrill on 2023-06-09 05:01:04
Comments:
-
177. Name: Rafael Bozionelos on 2023-06-09 05:02:05
Comments:
-
178. Name: Helen Houridis on 2023-06-09 05:02:18
Comments: The building has no heritage significance whatsoever. A typical example of bureaucratic intrusion .
-
179. Name: Peter Revelas on 2023-06-09 05:02:24
Comments:
-
180. Name: Nicki Messis on 2023-06-09 05:02:29
Comments:
-
181. Name: Peter Kyriacou on 2023-06-09 05:02:30
Comments:
-
182. Name: Brea Comino on 2023-06-09 05:03:10
Comments:
-
183. Name: Erephily Filacouridis on 2023-06-09 05:03:13
Comments: I do not agree with heritage listing a modern style building especially when so many aspects have been updated & are not significant as a structure.
The church as the custodians of the bricks & mortar should & will be able to make the best decisions for the structure to fit the needs of the Church family it looks after.
-
184. Name: Joseph ferreira on 2023-06-09 05:03:48
Comments:
-
185. Name: Angela Herczeg on 2023-06-09 05:03:58
Comments:
-

-
186. Name: John Doxakis on 2023-06-09 05:04:12
Comments:
-
187. Name: Christina Walker on 2023-06-09 05:04:25
Comments:
-
188. Name: Christine Pavlis on 2023-06-09 05:04:27
Comments:
-
189. Name: Koula Pertsoulis on 2023-06-09 05:04:44
Comments: The church has no Heritage significance at all, therefore should be Heritage listed.
-
190. Name: George Messis on 2023-06-09 05:04:46
Comments:
-
191. Name: Catherine Prineas on 2023-06-09 05:04:57
Comments:
-
192. Name: Alex Papas on 2023-06-09 05:05:28
Comments:
-
193. Name: John Andrews on 2023-06-09 05:05:48
Comments: Heritage listing of the building in the circumstances is not appropriate; however Woollahra Council could busy itself in Heritage listing some of its existing structures. It is a nice enough building but it is not the Parthenon.
-
194. Name: Rose Adgemis on 2023-06-09 05:06:59
Comments:
-
195. Name: Johnny Lambridis on 2023-06-09 05:10:16
Comments:
-
196. Name: James Stevens on 2023-06-09 05:10:51
Comments: Our church is growing and we don't want to be constrained in doing what we have to do for our church community.
-
197. Name: James M on 2023-06-09 05:11:03
Comments: I am against this heritage listing!
-
198. Name: Harry Lambropoulos on 2023-06-09 05:11:23
Comments:
-

-
199. Name: Kate Lambridis on 2023-06-09 05:11:26
Comments:
-
200. Name: Steven Piliotis on 2023-06-09 05:12:16
Comments:
-
201. Name: Helen Koutsouras on 2023-06-09 05:12:51
Comments:
-
202. Name: William Peterson on 2023-06-09 05:13:03
Comments:
-
203. Name: Asimina Drougas on 2023-06-09 05:13:44
Comments: No heritage listing for this site please!
-
204. Name: Konstantinos Koutroumpas on 2023-06-09 05:16:41
Comments:
-
205. Name: Stephanie Condylis on 2023-06-09 05:16:47
Comments:
-
206. Name: Chris A Carr on 2023-06-09 05:17:08
Comments: To assert that this building has any heritage significance is a ridiculous notion.
-
207. Name: Emmanouil Spanos on 2023-06-09 05:19:22
Comments: No heritage list for our church!
-
208. Name: Matthew Higginson on 2023-06-09 05:19:47
Comments: Heritage listing this building would not be appropriate. Heritage listing a living, breathing building/institution is a mistaken application of tradition and conservation.
-
209. Name: Michael Todd on 2023-06-09 05:19:53
Comments:
-
210. Name: Matina Mentis on 2023-06-09 05:21:02
Comments:
-
211. Name: fr peter salmas on 2023-06-09 05:21:20
Comments:
-
212. Name: Vasilios Karelak on 2023-06-09 05:21:47

Comments:

-
213. Name: Peter Omeros on 2023-06-09 05:22:37
Comments:
-
214. Name: Teresa karelas on 2023-06-09 05:22:40
Comments:
-
215. Name: George Masselos on 2023-06-09 05:22:42
Comments:
-
216. Name: Angele Doukas on 2023-06-09 05:23:29
Comments:
-
217. Name: christiana kikas Lambrinos on 2023-06-09 05:24:16
Comments:
-
218. Name: Mettina Pavlakis on 2023-06-09 05:25:35
Comments:
-
219. Name: Haris Argeetes on 2023-06-09 05:25:38
Comments:
-
220. Name: Christine Cooney on 2023-06-09 05:27:16
Comments:
-
221. Name: Costa Charalambous on 2023-06-09 05:27:23
Comments:
-
222. Name: George Milios on 2023-06-09 05:28:35
Comments:
-
223. Name: Christie Amelia Bryant on 2023-06-09 05:29:13
Comments: Our church in Rose Bay does not require Heritage Listing since the building is successfully cared for by the parishioners and it is not the original structure having been built in 1962 then improved over the years. The complications of Heritage Listing are expensive and not necessary for this community.
-
224. Name: Emmanuel Sklavos on 2023-06-09 05:29:38
Comments:
-
225. Name: Niki Kordos on 2023-06-09 05:29:40

Comments:

-
226. Name: Chris Thomas on 2023-06-09 05:30:34
Comments:
-
227. Name: Gina Agath on 2023-06-09 05:32:07
Comments:
-
228. Name: Effie Savvides on 2023-06-09 05:32:09
Comments:
-
229. Name: Panayiota Pappas on 2023-06-09 05:32:23
Comments:
-
230. Name: Barbara Economou on 2023-06-09 05:32:28
Comments: There is no way that St. George Greek Othodox Church in Rose Bay is a Heritage Building . St. George Church was built in 1962. How can it be Heritage!
-
231. Name: Marina on 2023-06-09 05:35:52
Comments:
-
232. Name: Nick Mides on 2023-06-09 05:37:27
Comments:
-
233. Name: Andrew Opacak on 2023-06-09 05:39:03
Comments:
-
234. Name: Steven Boukatos on 2023-06-09 05:39:08
Comments:
-
235. Name: Anna Castrissios on 2023-06-09 05:40:54
Comments: Please do not heritage list St George Church Rose Bay. Thank you.
-
236. Name: Dimitris Kouskoulis on 2023-06-09 05:41:17
Comments:
-
237. Name: Nicholas Harris on 2023-06-09 05:42:23
Comments:
-
238. Name: David Butcher on 2023-06-09 05:44:51
Comments:
-

-
239. Name: Patricia Souleles on 2023-06-09 05:45:07
Comments: Our church community is a vibrant one that attracts faithful from all over Sydney. The services, which are live streamed, reach the wider Australian population as well as overseas viewers because of the English content and the relevance of Orthodoxy for today. We need to grow this church not stifle it.
-
240. Name: Penelope Mayson on 2023-06-09 05:45:16
Comments:
-
241. Name: Christina Panayi on 2023-06-09 05:46:51
Comments: We were married at St George Church Rose Bay on 20 th November 1964
-
242. Name: Betty on 2023-06-09 05:47:34
Comments:
-
243. Name: Christina on 2023-06-09 05:47:38
Comments:
-
244. Name: Costa Cominos on 2023-06-09 05:50:10
Comments: The church building should not be heritage listed as there are many improvements that have occurred over the years, the building as it currently appears is not of any significant heritage value and the church should be able to continue to make improvements without the unnecessary costs of a heritage listed building.
-
245. Name: Leon Bombotas on 2023-06-09 05:50:35
Comments:
-
246. Name: Andrew on 2023-06-09 05:50:44
Comments:
-
247. Name: George Xydeas on 2023-06-09 05:51:10
Comments:
-
248. Name: Nick Vantas on 2023-06-09 05:51:50
Comments:
-
249. Name: Teodora on 2023-06-09 05:52:28
Comments:
-
250. Name: Angelique N on 2023-06-09 05:52:30
Comments:
-
251. Name: mary NICHOLAS on 2023-06-09 05:53:05

Comments:

-
252. Name: Harry Theodore on 2023-06-09 05:54:10
Comments: I oppose the heritage listing of our church by Woollahra Council
-
253. Name: Nikos Spy on 2023-06-09 05:54:38
Comments: As a Greek community with such rich culture and values we can only be embarrassed by the notion that a 60 year old building be considered heritage. Perhaps in another 200 years. Definite no to this heritage listing.
-
254. Name: Rade Radan on 2023-06-09 05:54:43
Comments: Heritage listing the Church precludes sustainable and ecologically beneficial improvements and changes to a very ordinary structure
-
255. Name: George Anagnostopoulos on 2023-06-09 05:54:54
Comments:
-
256. Name: Victoria St John on 2023-06-09 05:55:21
Comments:
-
257. Name: Rachel on 2023-06-09 05:55:42
Comments:
-
258. Name: Con Vallis on 2023-06-09 05:55:43
Comments: Leave it as it.....Plz
-
259. Name: Vasilis Kokkinos on 2023-06-09 05:59:36
Comments:
-
260. Name: Angelo Sklavos on 2023-06-09 06:00:58
Comments: Heritage listing is inappropriate
-
261. Name: Bill Kalantzis on 2023-06-09 06:03:57
Comments:
-
262. Name: Nikolas Hatzistergos on 2023-06-09 06:04:14
Comments:
-
263. Name: Nick Golfin on 2023-06-09 06:04:28
Comments:
-
264. Name: Bill vlahos on 2023-06-09 06:06:04

Comments:

-
265. Name: Haroula Mezher on 2023-06-09 06:06:59
Comments: Love this church
-
266. Name: Chris S Liberiou on 2023-06-09 06:07:05
Comments: I agree that a church is building - and - that it serves a purpose that may vary overtime, subject to the needs of the people it serves.
As such, a heritage order to preserve a building of little to No heritage value would in my opinion constitute a dereliction of the mission of any church to its parishioners - to serve the needs of people at a given point in time.
The idea that development of a society can be stopped by a heritage order is nonsensical at best, akin to human attempts to control nature - try as we might, nature will ultimately prevail.
Furthermore, freedom to pivot to serve the parish best at a future point in time, is an important consideration.
Interestingly, at a time of and AUS rental and housing crisis, it has occurred to me that heritage orders are a negative tool used by administrations serving little purpose if any, other than to hinder natural progress, or to complicate what should be a simple process.
PS the church as always been ugly in my personal view as a building, yet beautiful to experience based on the services facilitated ie this should not preclude redesign within the permissible DA & CC framework - there is no heritage value... let's be honest about this fact.
-
267. Name: Mary Stathos on 2023-06-09 06:07:14
Comments: My correct name is Mary Stathos
Email
[REDACTED]
-
268. Name: Anna Papadopoulos on 2023-06-09 06:08:46
Comments:
-
269. Name: Angela Tsolkas-Johnson on 2023-06-09 06:09:08
Comments: This church is not a church that fits the heritage listed criteria. Furthermore, this impedes future plans for the church and parish community.
-
270. Name: Leo Damis on 2023-06-09 06:11:37
Comments: My family has had an association with St George Church for over 50 years.

While the building holds a special place in our hearts. I fail to understand how the building can be considered to be heritage listed as it has no unique building features are unique to the area or from an architectural perspective.

I urge you to reconsider the listing given the impact, both financial and otherwise, it will have on the parish.
-

-
271. Name: Dean Grillas on 2023-06-09 06:12:26
Comments:
-
272. Name: DB on 2023-06-09 06:14:34
Comments:
-
273. Name: Peter Maneas on 2023-06-09 06:14:45
Comments: We are totally against the heritage listing.
-
274. Name: Dimitrios on 2023-06-09 06:15:10
Comments:
-
275. Name: Adam Foda on 2023-06-09 06:15:34
Comments:
-
276. Name: ADAM NICHLES on 2023-06-09 06:16:56
Comments:
-
277. Name: Con theodore on 2023-06-09 06:17:14
Comments:
-
278. Name: Helen Hassiotis on 2023-06-09 06:17:18
Comments:
-
279. Name: Christina Masselos on 2023-06-09 06:17:20
Comments:
-
280. Name: Nicholas Coumbis on 2023-06-09 06:17:59
Comments: This has been the parish of my family for generations since it was built in 1962. My grandfather was instrumental in organising its construction. Yet it is the wishes of myself and my family that it NOT be heritage listed.
-
281. Name: Matt dimaris on 2023-06-09 06:18:23
Comments:
-
282. Name: annette constantinidis on 2023-06-09 06:19:36
Comments:
-
283. Name: Constantine Zois on 2023-06-09 06:20:57
Comments:
-
284. Name: Marie Bekiaris on 2023-06-09 06:21:47

Comments:

-
285. Name: Jamie zois on 2023-06-09 06:22:37
Comments:
-
286. Name: Krystalla Campbell on 2023-06-09 06:23:38
Comments: Not to be heritage listed , how ridiculous 1962 building , another revenue collection for council a big no from me ...
-
287. Name: Helen Phaedonos on 2023-06-09 06:24:37
Comments:
-
288. Name: Peter Sotiropoulos on 2023-06-09 06:25:58
Comments:
-
289. Name: Mary Balagiannis on 2023-06-09 06:26:29
Comments:
-
290. Name: Niko on 2023-06-09 06:27:15
Comments:
-
291. Name: Ângela Rontidis on 2023-06-09 06:28:10
Comments: I feel the church does need the freedom to develop in accordance with its community needs. I have confidence any development will be done thoughtfully
-
292. Name: Vicki Dabassis on 2023-06-09 06:29:49
Comments:
-
293. Name: Gina Hara on 2023-06-09 06:31:42
Comments:
-
294. Name: Maria Angelopoulos on 2023-06-09 06:34:08
Comments:
-
295. Name: Miranda Hatziplis on 2023-06-09 06:37:56
Comments:
-
296. Name: Georgette Pavlides on 2023-06-09 06:41:01
Comments:
-
297. Name: Con veneris on 2023-06-09 06:41:38
Comments: Please leave things as they are. The priest knows what is best.

298.	Name: Dorri Diamond	on 2023-06-09 06:43:40	Comments:
299.	Name: Jim Psychogios	on 2023-06-09 06:44:34	Comments:
300.	Name: Arthur Dinas	on 2023-06-09 06:45:11	Comments:
301.	Name: Markos Nomikos	on 2023-06-09 06:45:49	Comments:
302.	Name: Christine Lynch	on 2023-06-09 06:45:50	Comments:
303.	Name: Katerina Loukidis	on 2023-06-09 06:46:23	Comments:
304.	Name: Helen Purcell	on 2023-06-09 06:46:26	Comments: This building is definitely not a heritage building.
305.	Name: Stamatia Doxakis	on 2023-06-09 06:46:47	Comments:
306.	Name: Patricia Halkeas	on 2023-06-09 06:47:19	Comments:
307.	Name: Crystal Condous	on 2023-06-09 06:48:51	Comments:
308.	Name: John Comino	on 2023-06-09 06:48:57	Comments: This Church is not on the classic design of Byzantine Architecture which is traditional to Greek Orthodox Churches. The Parish has worked hard to build activities and acquire adjacent properties for Religious use and aged care into the future. To Heritage list it is incongruous as further works to enlarge the Church for a growing Congregation and its Community activities are in the planning stages. I sterongly oppose the proposal not only as a Parishioner but also as a former Councillor and Mayor of Woollahra
309.	Name: John Petrakis	on 2023-06-09 06:51:56	Comments:

-
310. Name: Maria christou on 2023-06-09 06:52:09
Comments:
-
311. Name: Karen Daras on 2023-06-09 06:52:24
Comments:
-
312. Name: Dean Haritos on 2023-06-09 06:52:30
Comments:
-
313. Name: Vicki Kourtis on 2023-06-09 06:53:02
Comments:
-
314. Name: Vicki Gesouras on 2023-06-09 06:54:45
Comments: I love my parish church. My family has had a long history with it - starting from the days of fundraising for it, then continuing in the administration of it to this current day - but heritage listing is inappropriate and unjustified for a structure built just over 60 years ago.
-
315. Name: Paul Pappas on 2023-06-09 06:55:26
Comments:
-
316. Name: Elias Najm on 2023-06-09 06:56:18
Comments: Please do not place the church of St George Rose Bay under any heritage list.
-
317. Name: Crystal Validakis-Betar on 2023-06-09 06:57:16
Comments:
-
318. Name: Julie Sinnis on 2023-06-09 06:58:09
Comments:
-
319. Name: Marcia Bassin on 2023-06-09 06:58:38
Comments: No heritage listing please
-
320. Name: Spyridon Nikolas on 2023-06-09 06:59:40
Comments:
-
321. Name: Angeliki Pappas on 2023-06-09 07:01:09
Comments:
-
322. Name: andrew psaromatis on 2023-06-09 07:02:22
Comments: The Church should be allow to protect its own heritage
-

-
323. Name: James Aroney on 2023-06-09 07:02:32
Comments: I dont believe the building is architecturally and historically significant enough to be listed as heritage item
-
324. Name: Terri Katakouzinou on 2023-06-09 07:04:37
Comments:
-
325. Name: Elise S on 2023-06-09 07:08:50
Comments:
-
326. Name: John Joannou on 2023-06-09 07:10:21
Comments:
-
327. Name: J Joannou on 2023-06-09 07:11:03
Comments:
-
328. Name: Helen Kristallis on 2023-06-09 07:11:19
Comments:
-
329. Name: Jenna on 2023-06-09 07:11:21
Comments:
-
330. Name: Athena Joannou on 2023-06-09 07:12:01
Comments:
-
331. Name: Ioanna Diamadis on 2023-06-09 07:12:21
Comments:
-
332. Name: George Lalas on 2023-06-09 07:14:30
Comments:
-
333. Name: Kosta Kourkouvelis on 2023-06-09 07:15:57
Comments:
-
334. Name: Caren Katsogiannis on 2023-06-09 07:16:54
Comments:
-
335. Name: Jim Patete on 2023-06-09 07:18:00
Comments: The Parthenon is a heritage building, this is not.
-
336. Name: Helen Fragkioudakis on 2023-06-09 07:18:12
Comments:

-
337. Name: Kristin Brown on 2023-06-09 07:18:35
Comments: If the council did not feel that the older building on the corner previously known as Possums Preschool was worth heritage listing why would they want to list a relatively younger building?
-
338. Name: Jim Athanassiou on 2023-06-09 07:18:46
Comments: I agree to sign the petition!
-
339. Name: Fay Anagnostakis on 2023-06-09 07:19:05
Comments:
-
340. Name: Dimitrios Hatzitoulous on 2023-06-09 07:22:16
Comments:
-
341. Name: Nicholas Koutras on 2023-06-09 07:25:04
Comments:
-
342. Name: John G on 2023-06-09 07:25:22
Comments: I strongly support this petition not to heritage list St Georges church.
-
343. Name: Claudia Zapanalis on 2023-06-09 07:29:34
Comments:
-
344. Name: Kim Ioannou on 2023-06-09 07:31:17
Comments:
-
345. Name: Helen Karpas on 2023-06-09 07:33:19
Comments:
-
346. Name: Nicholas Coroneos on 2023-06-09 07:33:45
Comments: This church serves its parishioners & their families that increase in number over time therefore the Church should be able to modify or increase its physical capacity to accommodate its needs without time wasting hinderance.
-
347. Name: Sandra Thoma on 2023-06-09 07:34:00
Comments:
-
348. Name: Natasha Papathanasiou on 2023-06-09 07:38:09
Comments:
-
349. Name: Michael Sykes on 2023-06-09 07:38:29

Comments: This church must be open to growth and the building is not old enough.

350. Name: Michele Skouros on 2023-06-09 07:41:04
Comments:

351. Name: Fay Ligonis on 2023-06-09 07:49:50
Comments: I am against the heritage listing of St George church Rose Bay

352. Name: Peter D on 2023-06-09 07:51:33
Comments:

353. Name: Greg Passos on 2023-06-09 07:55:18
Comments:

354. Name: Jenny Parkes on 2023-06-09 08:00:21
Comments:

355. Name: Marina Bournazos on 2023-06-09 08:08:46
Comments: I oppose any heritage listing of the church building. The current building does not warrant a heritage protection order

356. Name: Helen Stamatopoulos on 2023-06-09 08:08:56
Comments:

357. Name: George Stamatopoulos on 2023-06-09 08:10:26
Comments:

358. Name: Cathy Vertzyas on 2023-06-09 08:11:47
Comments:

359. Name: Maria Athanasou on 2023-06-09 08:13:21
Comments:

360. Name: BB on 2023-06-09 08:15:40
Comments:

361. Name: Jack Sprw on 2023-06-09 08:15:50
Comments:

362. Name: Denise P on 2023-06-09 08:16:03
Comments:

-
363. Name: Nectarios Davis on 2023-06-09 08:19:57
Comments:
-
364. Name: Yiannoula Toras on 2023-06-09 08:23:21
Comments:
-
365. Name: Harry Dolianitis on 2023-06-09 08:27:38
Comments:
-
366. Name: Christine O Reilly on 2023-06-09 08:28:20
Comments: No thanks, not needed
-
367. Name: Maria Myliotis on 2023-06-09 08:29:24
Comments:
-
368. Name: Eve Tusa on 2023-06-09 08:30:41
Comments:
-
369. Name: Alexandra Anthony on 2023-06-09 08:33:39
Comments:
-
370. Name: Sascha De Keyzer on 2023-06-09 08:36:46
Comments: Heritage listing of St George Church is not necessary
-
371. Name: Leo on 2023-06-09 08:37:54
Comments:
-
372. Name: Michail Fotoulis on 2023-06-09 08:38:00
Comments:
-
373. Name: George Anthony on 2023-06-09 08:38:54
Comments:
-
374. Name: John Calokerinos on 2023-06-09 08:40:07
Comments: The heritage listing of this property does not preserve any significant historical features and will limit the future functionality and usability of the property as a public space.
-
375. Name: Harry Myliotis on 2023-06-09 08:40:34
Comments:
-

-
376. Name: Mark Issa on 2023-06-09 08:44:39
Comments:
-
377. Name: Eustathios Katsidis on 2023-06-09 08:45:24
Comments:
-
378. Name: Aphrodite Petridis on 2023-06-09 08:46:18
Comments:
-
379. Name: Angela McEncroe on 2023-06-09 08:46:41
Comments:
-
380. Name: Nicholas Evangelou on 2023-06-09 08:47:06
Comments: Agree totally with Parish Priest Father Gerasimos and the St George Parish Board!
-
381. Name: Sophia Anthony on 2023-06-09 08:48:23
Comments:
-
382. Name: AssocProf Constantinos Petsoglou on 2023-06-09 08:50:15
Comments: I applaud council for their critical heritage work and many buildings need to be protected. Our 60s church is no such building. As a parish we aim to protect and grow and do not wish impediments to our future plans. . Thank you
-
383. Name: Constandino Trianta on 2023-06-09 08:53:27
Comments:
-
384. Name: Kelly Bekiaris on 2023-06-09 08:54:10
Comments:
-
385. Name: Chris on 2023-06-09 08:54:11
Comments:
-
386. Name: Vicky Angelopoulos on 2023-06-09 08:57:22
Comments:
-
387. Name: Greg on 2023-06-09 08:59:37
Comments:
-
388. Name: Michael Sideris on 2023-06-09 09:00:58
Comments: Hands off our church
-

-
389. Name: Nik Young on 2023-06-09 09:03:32
Comments:
-
390. Name: Maria Kakakios Caputo on 2023-06-09 09:03:51
Comments:
-
391. Name: Aristeia O'Dea on 2023-06-09 09:14:39
Comments:
-
392. Name: Mr And Mrs Dougenis on 2023-06-09 09:15:24
Comments:
-
393. Name: John Anthony on 2023-06-09 09:17:33
Comments:
-
394. Name: Antoinette Cass on 2023-06-09 09:19:56
Comments:
-
395. Name: Jayne Roberts on 2023-06-09 09:22:39
Comments:
-
396. Name: Cleo on 2023-06-09 09:22:53
Comments:
-
397. Name: Ivanka TRIKALLOTIS on 2023-06-09 09:26:25
Comments:
-
398. Name: Elizabeth Evangelou on 2023-06-09 09:29:13
Comments:
-
399. Name: Dorota Chamos on 2023-06-09 09:32:01
Comments: It's an absurd situation to have such a relatively new building on a heritage list.
-
400. Name: Maria Poulos on 2023-06-09 09:34:35
Comments:
-
401. Name: Andrew Jabbour on 2023-06-09 09:39:36
Comments:
-
402. Name: Matina Grammatos on 2023-06-09 09:41:35
Comments:

403.	Name: Jenny Vass	on 2023-06-09 09:44:17
	Comments:	

404.	Name: Panayioti Zoupantis	on 2023-06-09 09:59:26
	Comments:	

405.	Name: Olga Michalopoulos	on 2023-06-09 10:05:06
	Comments:	

406.	Name: Dimitrios Sikiotis	on 2023-06-09 10:05:07
	Comments: A heritage affectation would detrimentally impact (1) the mission of our church particularly with heritage compliance costs and (2) the amenity of our facilities if the parish was not free to adapt to its future needs at its discretion (subject to the then current planning laws).	

407.	Name: Olga Rankin	on 2023-06-09 10:06:28
	Comments: I do not believe this building should be listed as a heritage structure in any form.	

408.	Name: Nicholas L	on 2023-06-09 10:11:12
	Comments:	

409.	Name: Catherine Spyrakis	on 2023-06-09 10:12:39
	Comments: The building is not heritage as it was built in 1962 and has been modernised since.	

410.	Name: John Karlovasitis	on 2023-06-09 10:13:00
	Comments:	

411.	Name: Brian Timms	on 2023-06-09 10:16:21
	Comments:	

412.	Name: Antonia	on 2023-06-09 10:18:46
	Comments:	

413.	Name: Dimitrios Zervoulis	on 2023-06-09 10:21:34
	Comments:	

414.	Name: Elisabeth Stylianou	on 2023-06-09 10:24:23
	Comments:	

-
415. Name: Patricia Poblete on 2023-06-09 10:25:42
Comments:
-
416. Name: Maria Awad on 2023-06-09 10:26:07
Comments: I sincerely hope the church will be allowed to be managed and further improved by its parishioners. It is not a heritage building as such, but very important to the community that attends.
-
417. Name: Ivy Stevenson on 2023-06-09 10:28:44
Comments: This is not a building that should be heritage listed .
-
418. Name: Maria Neophytou on 2023-06-09 10:29:09
Comments:
-
419. Name: Heather Souleles on 2023-06-09 10:29:55
Comments: Heritage listing of St George Church is not required and I do not support Woollahra Council in this.
-
420. Name: Dimitra Karagounis on 2023-06-09 10:34:35
Comments:
-
421. Name: Natasha Spiliopoulos on 2023-06-09 10:37:56
Comments:
-
422. Name: Maria Spiliopoulos on 2023-06-09 10:38:52
Comments:
-
423. Name: Mary Karkazis on 2023-06-09 10:40:43
Comments:
-
424. Name: Desi on 2023-06-09 10:42:01
Comments:
-
425. Name: Effie Doulos on 2023-06-09 10:42:52
Comments:
-
426. Name: Yvonne Georgopoulos on 2023-06-09 10:45:05
Comments:
-
427. Name: Alyse Grillas on 2023-06-09 10:48:33
Comments:
-

428. Name: Paul Drinias on 2023-06-09 10:51:37
Comments:

429. Name: Frieda K on 2023-06-09 10:54:41
Comments:

430. Name: Michael Yiannakis on 2023-06-09 10:56:09
Comments:

431. Name: Patty Pserras on 2023-06-09 11:01:22
Comments:

432. Name: Irene Sfinas on 2023-06-09 11:02:41
Comments:

433. Name: George Servos on 2023-06-09 11:03:11
Comments:

434. Name: Nicholas Markezinis on 2023-06-09 11:06:43
Comments:

435. Name: Maria Dinos on 2023-06-09 11:06:45
Comments:

436. Name: Stephanie Lampitsi on 2023-06-09 11:07:04
Comments:

437. Name: Milton Samios on 2023-06-09 11:08:12
Comments: The decision to place this church on the heritage list is another example of Councils' impeding development and the needs of the community.

438. Name: Poppy Lankry on 2023-06-09 11:11:31
Comments:

439. Name: Jason Roufogalis on 2023-06-09 11:12:03
Comments:

440. Name: Mark Macarounas on 2023-06-09 11:14:31
Comments:

441. Name: Elizabeth Lankry on 2023-06-09 11:15:07
Comments:

-
442. Name: John Hardis on 2023-06-09 11:16:16
Comments: I do not wish for the church to be heritage listed.
-
443. Name: Eva Houllis on 2023-06-09 11:17:15
Comments:
-
444. Name: Gina Kriketos on 2023-06-09 11:18:17
Comments:
-
445. Name: Connie Krassas on 2023-06-09 11:22:11
Comments:
-
446. Name: Marietta Manettas on 2023-06-09 11:25:55
Comments:
-
447. Name: Harry Kavetsos on 2023-06-09 11:27:37
Comments:
-
448. Name: George Conomos on 2023-06-09 11:31:24
Comments:
-
449. Name: Irina Cassimatis on 2023-06-09 11:32:55
Comments:
-
450. Name: Jasmin on 2023-06-09 11:33:58
Comments:
-
451. Name: Antonia Pascalis on 2023-06-09 11:36:43
Comments:
-
452. Name: Hatzianeou Sophia on 2023-06-09 11:40:49
Comments:
-
453. Name: Desi on 2023-06-09 11:41:24
Comments:
-
454. Name: Ludmilla Skoblin on 2023-06-09 11:41:55
Comments: Please review whether to list this building under the Heritage Act
-
455. Name: Nicky on 2023-06-09 11:43:25
Comments:

-
456. Name: Rachel Nimmer on 2023-06-09 11:45:44
Comments:
-
457. Name: Emanuel Raissis on 2023-06-09 11:51:49
Comments:
-
458. Name: Maria Sechos on 2023-06-09 11:52:29
Comments:
-
459. Name: Barry Sechos on 2023-06-09 11:53:41
Comments:
-
460. Name: Alexandra Pearce on 2023-06-09 11:56:11
Comments: Yes, the Church Community is the guardian, along with our Lord not the Council.
-
461. Name: Patrisia Tzoukas on 2023-06-09 12:00:50
Comments: Leave the church to it's people and stop trying to take over our place of worship were the government has no place. Our churches should be allowed to what the priests and parishioners want and not be told what we can't do. If the building reunites upgrading then let it be so. It is not old enough to be heritage listed!
-
462. Name: Christine Menounos on 2023-06-09 12:00:58
Comments:
-
463. Name: Theo Menounos on 2023-06-09 12:02:15
Comments:
-
464. Name: Spiro Menounos on 2023-06-09 12:03:18
Comments:
-
465. Name: Maria Barbouttis on 2023-06-09 12:13:10
Comments: Please do not list our church
-
466. Name: john karavias on 2023-06-09 12:21:21
Comments:
-
467. Name: George Nikolas on 2023-06-09 12:22:42
Comments:
-
468. Name: maria karavias on 2023-06-09 12:23:04

Comments:

-
469. Name: Vasily Makeev on 2023-06-09 12:31:43
Comments:
-
470. Name: Leah Georgakis on 2023-06-09 12:56:40
Comments:
-
471. Name: Angela on 2023-06-09 13:11:40
Comments:
-
472. Name: Emma Boukatos on 2023-06-09 13:12:29
Comments:
-
473. Name: Connie Sellaro on 2023-06-09 13:13:30
Comments:
-
474. Name: Victoria Jabbour on 2023-06-09 13:15:52
Comments:
-
475. Name: Michael on 2023-06-09 13:20:55
Comments:
-
476. Name: Catrina Armata on 2023-06-09 13:27:55
Comments: I am against heritage listing, the property at 90-92 Newcastle St Rose Bay, being St George Greek Orthodox Church.
-
477. Name: Dr Andrew Kapos on 2023-06-09 13:35:19
Comments:
-
478. Name: Anais Menounos on 2023-06-09 13:39:14
Comments:
-
479. Name: Jack Boutros on 2023-06-09 13:40:05
Comments:
-
480. Name: Konstantine Apostolellis on 2023-06-09 13:42:47
Comments:
-
481. Name: Nico Spiropoulos on 2023-06-09 13:45:05
Comments: After more than two thousand years of culture and learning, the Greek community of St. George Rose Bay know a lot more about heritage than any level of

government.

-
482. Name: Lorraine maglis on 2023-06-09 14:17:45
Comments: Churches should not be heritage listed. My son was married in that church my grandson also was baptised in this church. Also I used to go their when I was young to learn about my religion which of proud of. Churches should left out of politics.
-
483. Name: Mari Kara on 2023-06-09 14:19:26
Comments:
-
484. Name: Denise Angelopoulos on 2023-06-09 14:26:35
Comments:
-
485. Name: Issa Albaba on 2023-06-09 14:32:39
Comments:
-
486. Name: Anthony Bassil on 2023-06-09 14:51:45
Comments:
-
487. Name: Spyridoula Retsinas on 2023-06-09 14:51:46
Comments:
-
488. Name: Kyriacos Mavrolefteros on 2023-06-09 14:54:10
Comments:
-
489. Name: Angelo Symeonidis on 2023-06-09 15:19:30
Comments:
-
490. Name: Aristos Karpathiou on 2023-06-09 15:35:32
Comments: I must agree with most if not all the facts highlighted by FR. Gerasimos!
Dear Woollahra Council, please reconsider!
- Thankyou
-
491. Name: Georgia on 2023-06-09 15:39:16
Comments:
-
492. Name: George Kourt on 2023-06-09 16:14:32
Comments:
-
493. Name: Vasileios Haldezos on 2023-06-09 16:14:59
Comments:
-

-
494. Name: Kate Fatouros on 2023-06-09 16:15:10
Comments: I'm against heritage listing.
-
495. Name: Merhawi on 2023-06-09 16:19:47
Comments:
-
496. Name: Susan Galouzis Sisneros on 2023-06-09 16:25:33
Comments:
-
497. Name: Niklas H on 2023-06-09 16:35:24
Comments:
-
498. Name: Basil Roufogalis on 2023-06-09 17:33:45
Comments: Heritage listing will be contrary to the immediate and longer term requirements of the parishioners of this relatively new community and church, and could have the consequence of interference with religious freedom.
-
499. Name: Mary Notaras on 2023-06-09 18:12:08
Comments:
-
500. Name: Fiona Xexenis on 2023-06-09 19:01:54
Comments:
-
501. Name: John Christopoulos on 2023-06-09 19:20:30
Comments:
-
502. Name: William Heal on 2023-06-09 19:36:07
Comments: This is a church preserving church history, please respect such.
-
503. Name: Victoria Halkeas on 2023-06-09 19:56:47
Comments:
-
504. Name: Elizabeth Rophael on 2023-06-09 20:31:49
Comments:
-
505. Name: Helen J on 2023-06-09 20:33:04
Comments:
-
506. Name: ANDREW KOTROLOS on 2023-06-09 20:35:17
Comments: hands off our church
-
507. Name: George Theo on 2023-06-09 20:40:21

Comments:

-
508. Name: Polyxeni Zaharopoulos on 2023-06-09 20:57:01
Comments:
-
509. Name: Vera Todorovic on 2023-06-09 21:01:00
Comments:
-
510. Name: Veron Phitidis on 2023-06-09 21:03:35
Comments:
-
511. Name: Cameron Harvey on 2023-06-09 21:08:44
Comments: Buildings less than 100 years old can not by law be listed as a Heritage Building
-
512. Name: Arthur Vekiarellis on 2023-06-09 21:24:08
Comments:
-
513. Name: Eleni Egan on 2023-06-09 21:30:51
Comments:
-
514. Name: Athena Conomos on 2023-06-09 21:36:14
Comments: No heritage listing for St George Rose Bay
-
515. Name: Stephanie Bokolis on 2023-06-09 21:48:44
Comments:
-
516. Name: Grace Valanidas on 2023-06-09 21:49:23
Comments: Hi,

This our church we do not want it becoming heritage listed!
-
517. Name: Christina Ioakim on 2023-06-09 21:58:08
Comments:
-
518. Name: John Georgeou on 2023-06-09 22:00:44
Comments:
-
519. Name: Andrew Pitsis on 2023-06-09 22:17:30
Comments:
-
520. Name: George Vellis on 2023-06-09 22:19:38

Comments:

-
521. Name: Sandra Soulos on 2023-06-09 22:25:14
Comments: I strongly oppose the heritage listing of St George Church in Rose Bay
-
522. Name: Michael Peros on 2023-06-09 22:26:04
Comments: Our church is for the community and does not fall under any heritage listing guidelines outlined by the Heritage council of NSW.
-
523. Name: Michael Zacharia on 2023-06-09 22:38:53
Comments:
-
524. Name: Belinda Castrisos on 2023-06-09 22:40:40
Comments:
-
525. Name: John Castrisos on 2023-06-09 22:41:13
Comments:
-
526. Name: Sofia Castrisos on 2023-06-09 22:41:53
Comments:
-
527. Name: Lexi Castrisos on 2023-06-09 22:42:23
Comments:
-
528. Name: Theodore Castrisos on 2023-06-09 22:42:58
Comments:
-
529. Name: Koulianos Pantales on 2023-06-09 22:44:59
Comments:
-
530. Name: Tina Roditis on 2023-06-09 22:53:59
Comments:
-
531. Name: Todd Mokhiber on 2023-06-09 22:54:53
Comments:
-
532. Name: Cassandra paroulakis on 2023-06-09 22:57:18
Comments:
-
533. Name: Rafael Dimou on 2023-06-09 22:57:48
Comments:
-

-
534. Name: Nicoleta Stevens on 2023-06-09 23:03:30
Comments:
-
535. Name: Georgia on 2023-06-09 23:17:04
Comments:
-
536. Name: TONY JABBOUR on 2023-06-09 23:22:20
Comments:
-
537. Name: mary JABBOUR on 2023-06-09 23:22:57
Comments:
-
538. Name: Lulu Patsalides on 2023-06-09 23:23:12
Comments:
-
539. Name: Dimi Leo on 2023-06-09 23:25:46
Comments: This is just complete nonsense. Does this mean that all homes/buildings in the area that were built before 1963 and have had extensive renovations will also be heritage listed. Who is behind this decision and what is the real agenda behind this nonsense?
-
540. Name: Mr Demetris Klados on 2023-06-09 23:26:51
Comments:
-
541. Name: Robert Metcalfe on 2023-06-09 23:35:08
Comments: Politics DO NOT mix with Religion and its freedom and any attempt to impose control is a dangerous impost upon our rights to choose future development.
-
542. Name: Jim Aroney on 2023-06-09 23:41:20
Comments:
-
543. Name: Mary Dresser on 2023-06-09 23:41:29
Comments:
-
544. Name: Joseph Michael on 2023-06-09 23:48:56
Comments:
-
545. Name: Annette Patakakis on 2023-06-09 23:51:47
Comments:
-
546. Name: Demetrios Christou on 2023-06-09 23:56:49
Comments:
-

-
547. Name: Greg Criticos on 2023-06-09 23:59:40
Comments:
-
548. Name: Barry Rallis on 2023-06-10 00:01:05
Comments:
-
549. Name: Peter KALIAROPOULOS on 2023-06-10 00:02:37
Comments:
-
550. Name: Linda Garboni on 2023-06-10 00:09:34
Comments: Preserve your faith, thrive as a community, and always be kind to one another.
-
551. Name: james condoleon on 2023-06-10 00:15:11
Comments:
-
552. Name: Anna Antoniou on 2023-06-10 00:15:25
Comments:
-
553. Name: Maria Pappas on 2023-06-10 00:17:12
Comments:
-
554. Name: George Kay on 2023-06-10 00:21:58
Comments: I support St. George's Church opposition of the Woollahra Council's proposed heritage listing of it's church building. The Council's proposal seems unreasonable and would, more than likely, be a detriment to the growth and vitality of the church community. Please do not go forward with this proposed heritage listing. Thank you for your time and allowing me to express my support for St. George Church.
-
555. Name: Erin-Kate Matsoukas on 2023-06-10 00:23:16
Comments:
-
556. Name: Fotini Metcalfe on 2023-06-10 00:28:46
Comments: No heritage listing of our Orthodox Church. Our church our business how we run it . Nothing to do with secular world Gov. big mistake!!!!
-
557. Name: fotina georgas on 2023-06-10 00:30:02
Comments:
-
558. Name: Rebecca Pazin on 2023-06-10 00:33:42
Comments:
-
559. Name: Irene Philippopoulos on 2023-06-10 00:37:36

Comments:

-
560. Name: Dorothy on 2023-06-10 00:38:43
Comments: Please leave our church to the Christian families who seek comfort & faith from this place of worship. Why do councils & government interfere all the time. I'll be emailing our esteem federal member who is always keen to help marginalised people of the community
-
561. Name: Lisa van der Meulen on 2023-06-10 00:39:23
Comments:
-
562. Name: Gerry Daras on 2023-06-10 00:39:46
Comments:
-
563. Name: Frances Catsaros on 2023-06-10 00:42:35
Comments:
-
564. Name: Sophia Zadelis on 2023-06-10 00:47:32
Comments:
-
565. Name: Constantine Giannos on 2023-06-10 00:50:36
Comments: The Orthodox Church was built with its own traditions of Architecture. It belongs to Greek Orthodox Christians who migrated here and built it with Love, Devotion, Faith, Blood, Sweat and Tears in Honour of Christ, The Mother of God, the Saints, Martyrs and it's Patron, St George. Decisions of Non-Orthodox or Non-Christian entities have no place here concerning this parish. Absolutely Unacceptable!
-
566. Name: Sophia on 2023-06-10 00:55:58
Comments: This church belongs to the parish, not to the government. The parish does not desire this heritage listing - please respect their wishes and let them continue to care for their church!
-
567. Name: Anna christoforidis on 2023-06-10 00:56:18
Comments:
-
568. Name: George Katehos on 2023-06-10 01:15:06
Comments:
-
569. Name: Nicholas Vass on 2023-06-10 01:16:47
Comments: No more interference by government
-
570. Name: Photina on 2023-06-10 01:18:12
Comments:

571.	Name: George	on 2023-06-10 01:18:56
	Comments:	

572.	Name: George	on 2023-06-10 01:19:26
	Comments:	

573.	Name: Lia	on 2023-06-10 01:21:35
	Comments:	

574.	Name: M m Zavalakis	on 2023-06-10 01:53:16
	Comments:	The building is modern to even be considered for heritage listing. It's totally ridiculous cancel this proposal and let us the community enjoy our church.

575.	Name: Christina Hernady	on 2023-06-10 01:54:31
	Comments:	St George church belongs to all parishioners who are more than capable of looking after the needs of the building that they paid for with their own money. They have been doing a wonderful job taking particular care of their beloved church and do not need external interference to continue to preserve and upkeep a church they have been the caring custodians of for over 50 years

576.	Name: Angela Yalizio	on 2023-06-10 01:56:30
	Comments:	

577.	Name: Mik	on 2023-06-10 01:58:58
	Comments:	What is this proposed heritage listing actually protecting?

578.	Name: Tina Belogiannis	on 2023-06-10 02:09:23
	Comments:	

579.	Name: Anastasia Timms	on 2023-06-10 02:09:37
	Comments:	

580.	Name: Nectaria	on 2023-06-10 02:09:43
	Comments:	

581.	Name: Anna Liangas	on 2023-06-10 02:16:19
	Comments:	A heritage listing does not make sense for this property

582.	Name: Ilias Liangas	on 2023-06-10 02:19:13
	Comments:	

-
583. Name: Tina on 2023-06-10 02:25:09
Comments:
-
584. Name: Nicholas Louridas on 2023-06-10 02:28:15
Comments:
-
585. Name: andrew harris on 2023-06-10 02:29:24
Comments:
-
586. Name: Alexander Sen on 2023-06-10 02:30:12
Comments:
-
587. Name: Anna Kambos on 2023-06-10 02:57:01
Comments:
-
588. Name: Peter Kambos on 2023-06-10 02:57:50
Comments:
-
589. Name: Gus Alex on 2023-06-10 03:13:23
Comments: Hands off the Church.
Let them control their own future.
-
590. Name: Michael Karris on 2023-06-10 03:16:45
Comments: A heritage listing is irrelevant and a hinderance to the future development of
the church building and its surrounds.
-
591. Name: Andrea Alembakis on 2023-06-10 03:18:00
Comments:
-
592. Name: Grace Stasos on 2023-06-10 03:21:00
Comments: I am against the church becoming a heritage site
-
593. Name: Steven Stasos on 2023-06-10 03:22:06
Comments: I am against the church becoming a heritage site
-
594. Name: Katherine Cunningham on 2023-06-10 03:30:52
Comments:
-
595. Name: Louise Alfieris on 2023-06-10 03:41:06
Comments:
-
596. Name: Agrepini Harding on 2023-06-10 03:42:51

Comments: Nothing to add

597. Name: John on 2023-06-10 03:50:07
Comments:

598. Name: Anthony Sklavos on 2023-06-10 03:56:16
Comments: The idea that this building has heritage significance is preposterous. Council look for another building.

599. Name: Stella Sorotou on 2023-06-10 04:10:31
Comments:

600. Name: Catherine Economos on 2023-06-10 04:27:38
Comments: There is no validity in making this building into a heritage listing.

601. Name: Joshua Smith Timotheos is my Orthodox name on 2023-06-10 04:27:40
Comments: The word church comes from the Greek - Ecclesia - which means assembly of PEOPLE. It does not mean a pile of bricks and mortar.

I suggest the council concern themselves with people not inanimate objects that people use.

602. Name: Fr Jean Mawal on 2023-06-10 04:28:42
Comments:

603. Name: Sam Pappas on 2023-06-10 04:47:26
Comments: I disagree to become heritage.

604. Name: Helen Chrissis on 2023-06-10 04:49:45
Comments:

605. Name: Clifford Argue on 2023-06-10 05:00:10
Comments: Being somewhat familiar with historic preservation programs here in the United States and having visited and worshiped at St. George Church in Rose Bay, I fail to see any benefit to either the parish or the local community in having a heritage designation placed on the church building. To the contrary, it will hamper growth of St. George, a vibrant and respected entity serving many Greek Orthodox faithful in the region.

606. Name: joseph freitas on 2023-06-10 05:15:20
Comments:

607. Name: Peter Masselos on 2023-06-10 05:28:36

Comments:

608. Name: Maria Kourt on 2023-06-10 05:29:48
Comments:

609. Name: Aapaaia Iosifidis on 2023-06-10 05:32:48
Comments:

610. Name: SOPHIE ANGELOU on 2023-06-10 05:34:23
Comments:

611. Name: Lea Stracey on 2023-06-10 05:44:49
Comments:

612. Name: Kathy Pahos on 2023-06-10 05:57:42
Comments:

613. Name: Hoomis Pahos on 2023-06-10 05:59:04
Comments:

614. Name: Margo Peterson on 2023-06-10 06:25:28
Comments:

615. Name: John ASSARAPIN on 2023-06-10 06:44:11
Comments: The church is a vibrant building but also a community. We need to have the ability to use our building for the benefit of our faith. Faith is dynamic. By listing the building would undermine this dynamism

616. Name: Tas Mavroulis on 2023-06-10 06:50:52
Comments:

617. Name: Spiros Magiros on 2023-06-10 06:58:01
Comments:

618. Name: Garry Kapsimalis on 2023-06-10 07:05:04
Comments:

619. Name: Christine valanidas on 2023-06-10 07:09:19
Comments:

620. Name: Fadi Mati on 2023-06-10 07:52:33
Comments: Please do not heritage list. This is a house of God.

-
621. Name: Habib Melki on 2023-06-10 08:09:37
Comments:
-
622. Name: Habib Melki on 2023-06-10 08:10:16
Comments:
-
623. Name: Adriana Paridis on 2023-06-10 08:15:07
Comments: I don't think that this property qualifies for heritage listing as it has gone through many alterations and improvements
-
624. Name: Ronza Shamoon on 2023-06-10 08:30:10
Comments:
-
625. Name: Helen Macris on 2023-06-10 08:33:02
Comments:
-
626. Name: Julie Martin on 2023-06-10 09:09:45
Comments: Council should NOT hinder future developments by heritage listing this Greek Orthodox Church
-
627. Name: Stephen Varvaressos on 2023-06-10 09:47:51
Comments: If the Council continue to be intransigent, some of the heavy hitters may need to lobby the Council and individual Counsellors eg George Miller, Turnbull etc. I would also consult Allegra Spender Spender and the state MP. Sally Betts may be interested in helping. If all else fails, may have to take it to the Land & Environment Court. Also try and find out how other churches have been treated by comparison here and in other parts of Sydney.
-
628. Name: Mariah Kalaitzis on 2023-06-10 09:48:49
Comments:
-
629. Name: John Lee on 2023-06-10 10:11:14
Comments:
-
630. Name: Lena Papas on 2023-06-10 10:26:07
Comments: 1962 is not heritage
-
631. Name: Stathis Toumasatos on 2023-06-10 10:28:17
Comments:
-
632. Name: Con Dimitriou on 2023-06-10 10:33:51
Comments:

-
633. Name: Anthony Athanasou on 2023-06-10 10:34:15
Comments:
-
634. Name: Mike Tadros on 2023-06-10 10:58:10
Comments: A building from 1962 is not a historical or heritage building. It's just another structure.
-
635. Name: George Levendis on 2023-06-10 11:09:48
Comments:
-
636. Name: Nicoula Coroneo on 2023-06-10 11:12:43
Comments: The building of St George Greek Orthodox Church Rose Bay in Newcastle Street is new and doesn't have heritage significance. It would be wrong for Woollahra Council to list it as a heritage building.
-
637. Name: Lea on 2023-06-10 11:21:09
Comments:
-
638. Name: Irene Bizannes on 2023-06-10 11:26:39
Comments:
-
639. Name: George Kharoufeh on 2023-06-10 11:39:27
Comments:
-
640. Name: Sophie Stamps on 2023-06-10 11:44:54
Comments: The Church building is relatively new I would not consider that the building would fall in a category of Heritage listing. There are so many buildings in the area much older and with original features worth listing as heritage.
-
641. Name: James Athanasou on 2023-06-10 12:24:13
Comments: The church of St George is a unique architectural component of Rose Bay. It is a special multicultural expression with immense historical significance to the Greek-Australian community.
-
642. Name: Andrea Yastreboff on 2023-06-10 13:01:08
Comments:
-
643. Name: Maria Nicholson on 2023-06-10 14:55:04
Comments:
-
644. Name: Emmanuel Nicholson on 2023-06-10 15:00:20
Comments:

645. Name: Nomiki Vassilakoglou on 2023-06-10 15:26:51
Comments:

646. Name: Fr Tiberiu Opris on 2023-06-10 17:01:53
Comments:

647. Name: Robert on 2023-06-10 17:12:25
Comments:

648. Name: Chris S on 2023-06-10 18:58:58
Comments:

649. Name: Bill Korakakis on 2023-06-10 19:27:30
Comments:

650. Name: Natassa Constantinides on 2023-06-10 21:40:49
Comments:

651. Name: Athena Kontrafouris on 2023-06-10 21:58:00
Comments:

652. Name: George Economou on 2023-06-10 22:34:08
Comments:

653. Name: Felicia Aroney on 2023-06-10 23:07:30
Comments:

654. Name: Rania on 2023-06-10 23:20:34
Comments:

655. Name: Dimitra Zavalakis on 2023-06-10 23:43:02
Comments:

656. Name: Despina Ferreira on 2023-06-11 00:14:35
Comments:

657. Name: Stella Doumanis on 2023-06-11 00:40:15
Comments: I do not support the heritage listing

658. Name: Carlos Ferreira on 2023-06-11 00:58:10
Comments:

-
659. Name: John Dour on 2023-06-11 01:06:28
Comments:
-
660. Name: Maria Lambros on 2023-06-11 01:11:42
Comments:
-
661. Name: Anca Masca on 2023-06-11 01:13:40
Comments:
-
662. Name: Eliana Pana on 2023-06-11 01:14:48
Comments:
-
663. Name: Russell Darnley OAM on 2023-06-11 01:15:58
Comments: A church community must be allowed to determine the nature of its place of worship. This is not a matter for government to determine.
-
664. Name: Basil Livisianos on 2023-06-11 01:16:15
Comments:
-
665. Name: Timothy challis on 2023-06-11 01:20:33
Comments: Hello , I'm from toowoomba. I watch you every week . As I can't go church it too far and I work on Sundays. I joy watching you and worship God. I want to come one day and visit .me my wife Elyn will pray for your church and you . We know God is mightier than council . Blessings
-
666. Name: Stella Anderson on 2023-06-11 01:24:15
Comments: Please allow this church to continue serving the Lord in our orthodox tradition. I live in Toowoomba and it's a great blessing
-
667. Name: Dimitra Livisianos on 2023-06-11 01:24:35
Comments: Government does not understand the momentum of Orthodox Christianity and growth to the church and fellowship. It grows and changes by voluntary funding and need by number of parishioners and to erect thr beauty of Gods dwelling by furnishing that over years grow by funding. If there was funding at the very beginning all would have been painted, furnished and ready...but as Sydney grows and church parishioners grew so does the need of space. And if the church is not big enough , the church moves its sacred all to a new bigger place. Or if the space is big, the church is expanded.
- Heritage limits. There is nothing heritage of the Grerk Church Orthodox Saint George. It gas evolved over time..
Not built and never changed from its creation.
-
668. Name: Maria Papadimitriou on 2023-06-11 01:32:54

Comments:

669. Name: Con Zeritis on 2023-06-11 02:03:09
Comments:

670. Name: Georgios on 2023-06-11 02:04:48
Comments:

671. Name: John Mitsakos on 2023-06-11 02:05:12
Comments:

672. Name: Helena Logothetis on 2023-06-11 02:07:09
Comments:

673. Name: Nicole Georgiakakis on 2023-06-11 02:08:33
Comments:

674. Name: George Fragkioudakis on 2023-06-11 02:12:08
Comments: I assist with running the youth fellowship for 18-28 year olds. In the last several years we have increased in numbers substantially and have had to adjust the space, fixtures, floors and audio/visual equipment to facilitate this amazing space. As a parish it is essential we remain growth oriented and adaptable

675. Name: Dimitri on 2023-06-11 02:23:42
Comments: Everything about the church including architecture is Dogma, and should be without hindrance from government.

676. Name: Maree Petsoglou on 2023-06-11 02:41:09
Comments:

677. Name: Eustace on 2023-06-11 02:56:58
Comments:

678. Name: Paul Parris on 2023-06-11 03:02:24
Comments: Please do not place this Holy Church on a heritage listing as it is an impediment to future improvements.

679. Name: John Macarounas on 2023-06-11 03:15:13
Comments: How dare they presume the right to decide our fate and the fate of our Church we should invite the lawyer to come to the church on a Sunday and date address the parishioners.

680. Name: Dana Xenikis on 2023-06-11 03:36:23

Comments:

-
681. Name: Pandora Zahar on 2023-06-11 03:52:13
Comments:
-
682. Name: Con Chatziroubis on 2023-06-11 05:02:58
Comments:
-
683. Name: Michael Hernady on 2023-06-11 05:08:23
Comments:
-
684. Name: George Cassimatis on 2023-06-11 05:35:31
Comments: Heritage listing this church is a ridiculous thought. The church is of relatively modern (if not ugly) architecture and especially when compared with Anglican, Church of England and Roman Catholic churches in the Eastern suburbs which may be 100 to 150 years old. Heritage listing this innocent church serves absolutely no purpose other than to place an unfair imposition on the improvement and expansion of the church as and when needed and at great expense and is hence an act of GROSS DISCRIMINATION!
-
685. Name: Elly Cotsopoulos on 2023-06-11 05:38:06
Comments:
-
686. Name: Lianna Augoustis on 2023-06-11 06:14:05
Comments: The church does not provide environmental or cultural significance and as such should NOT need to be heritage listed.
or reflect a style of architecture in to categorise it as heritage listed
-
687. Name: Jacob Otieno on 2023-06-11 06:57:17
Comments: Nil
-
688. Name: Paul Andon on 2023-06-11 07:11:39
Comments: This seems an unnecessary imposition, intended to stifle any potential development that may occur, which is already regulated through the normal approval processes. NIMBYism at its best!
-
689. Name: Eleni Belogiannis on 2023-06-11 07:32:48
Comments:
-
690. Name: Terry Bekiaris on 2023-06-11 07:43:09
Comments:
-
691. Name: Andrea on 2023-06-11 07:44:33
Comments:

-
692. Name: Toulia Brakoulas on 2023-06-11 07:57:56
Comments: No heritage listing for our church
-
693. Name: Evangelia Vasili on 2023-06-11 07:58:13
Comments: This is a relatively new building with ongoing improvements. It is a place of Orthodox worship, not an ordinary building! Heritage listing will introduce an unworkable bureaucracy for future maintenance and improvements. It will introduce extra cost to the Parish which already depends on donations.
-
694. Name: Irene Evangelou on 2023-06-11 07:59:58
Comments:
-
695. Name: Harriet Redmond on 2023-06-11 08:59:38
Comments:
-
696. Name: Peter Harris on 2023-06-11 09:13:42
Comments:
-
697. Name: Simeon Kerameas on 2023-06-11 09:46:21
Comments:
-
698. Name: Zoe on 2023-06-11 09:50:34
Comments:
-
699. Name: Sophie O on 2023-06-11 10:11:46
Comments:
-
700. Name: Arthur Katsogiannis on 2023-06-11 10:24:09
Comments:
-
701. Name: Mary Peros on 2023-06-11 10:27:01
Comments: As the Greek community in the area continues to expand, it's inevitable that over time, the Church building will require upgrading.
-
702. Name: Georgia Pandelas on 2023-06-11 10:42:38
Comments:
-
703. Name: Simone Karp on 2023-06-11 10:53:18
Comments:
-
704. Name: Eleni Marangos on 2023-06-11 11:03:02

Comments:

-
705. Name: Nicholas Lynch on 2023-06-11 11:28:24
Comments: over my life this church has changed dramatically and continues to improve and be functional to our community...please do not hinder this process in motion over generations now!
-
706. Name: Loukas Loukidis on 2023-06-11 11:39:07
Comments:
-
707. Name: Nuan on 2023-06-11 11:52:42
Comments:
-
708. Name: Irene Bourtzos on 2023-06-11 12:04:24
Comments:
-
709. Name: Patricia Aroney on 2023-06-11 13:08:04
Comments: The church is only 60 years old so shouldn't come under heritage listing. There shouldn't be any restrictions in growing our church in the future. We need a larger hall and kitchen to cater to the needs of our growing Christian community. Restricting development will stifle our numbers.
-
710. Name: Matina samios on 2023-06-11 13:39:19
Comments: I am against for our church to be heritage listed for all the reasons father Gerasimos mentioned
-
711. Name: John Condylis on 2023-06-11 14:19:25
Comments:
-
712. Name: Anellie Manolas on 2023-06-11 14:53:55
Comments:
-
713. Name: Cindy Giannakakis on 2023-06-11 16:01:23
Comments:
-
714. Name: Mariam Anderson on 2023-06-11 16:57:55
Comments:
-
715. Name: Eugen on 2023-06-11 18:49:56
Comments:
-
716. Name: Paul Branson on 2023-06-11 20:00:36
Comments: Do not see the need to list a 1960's church as a Heritage item bureaucratic

busy body's. Have council got nothing better to do?

717. Name: John Mitrofanis on 2023-06-11 21:06:55
Comments:

718. Name: Margaret Hill on 2023-06-11 21:41:48
Comments: Please do not heritage list our church.

719. Name: Annie Peros on 2023-06-11 22:01:17
Comments: It is very important for our church and it's future to not be controlled or restricted by naming it as a heritage building.

720. Name: Nicholas Poulos on 2023-06-11 23:08:52
Comments: I do not want this building heritage listed

721. Name: Koula Iliopoulos on 2023-06-11 23:15:13
Comments:

722. Name: Ken Hill on 2023-06-12 00:55:30
Comments:

723. Name: Katerina Kallianiotis on 2023-06-12 01:34:44
Comments:

724. Name: Melanie Poulos on 2023-06-12 02:01:34
Comments: Do not heritage list

725. Name: Angela Mitrofanis on 2023-06-12 02:44:01
Comments:

726. Name: George Betsis on 2023-06-12 04:42:32
Comments:

727. Name: Effy Murray on 2023-06-12 04:57:27
Comments:

728. Name: Marina Vicki Gazilas on 2023-06-12 05:29:54
Comments:

729. Name: Alex v on 2023-06-12 05:31:34
Comments:

-
730. Name: Petra Greifenstein on 2023-06-12 05:38:12
Comments:
-
731. Name: Christina Zoupantis on 2023-06-12 06:22:16
Comments:
-
732. Name: Vicky Gazilas on 2023-06-12 06:40:05
Comments: No Heritage Listing
-
733. Name: Helen Rekouniotis on 2023-06-12 06:41:22
Comments: No Heritage Listing
-
734. Name: Andrew Stavrou on 2023-06-12 07:14:12
Comments:
-
735. Name: Maria Niahos on 2023-06-12 07:21:38
Comments:
-
736. Name: MARIANNE MENTIS on 2023-06-12 07:50:07
Comments:
-
737. Name: Massimo Stillone on 2023-06-12 07:50:48
Comments:
-
738. Name: Orlando Stillone on 2023-06-12 07:52:09
Comments:
-
739. Name: Alessandro Stillone on 2023-06-12 07:53:08
Comments:
-
740. Name: Victor Palinginis on 2023-06-12 08:26:26
Comments: Do not list the St George Greek church in Rose bay as a heritage building.
Thanks.
-
741. Name: Diane Zapanalis on 2023-06-12 08:52:46
Comments: The building is not old enough to be listed
-
742. Name: Maria Peterson on 2023-06-12 09:14:49
Comments: No secular property laws should bind a spiritual home.
-
743. Name: James Kaklamanis on 2023-06-12 09:37:58
Comments: I strongly oppose Woollahra council's proposal to heritage list our place of

worship!!!

-
744. Name: Samantha Marie Simmons on 2023-06-12 09:43:07
Comments: My parents were married at this church in 1963 and I was married there in 2005. This church has a wonderful history which should be treasured and preserved.
-
745. Name: George Tsegos on 2023-06-12 09:49:18
Comments:
-
746. Name: Kathy papas on 2023-06-12 10:01:42
Comments:
-
747. Name: John Michalopoulos on 2023-06-12 10:13:35
Comments:
-
748. Name: Mary georges on 2023-06-12 10:33:19
Comments:
-
749. Name: Michael Mats on 2023-06-12 10:52:25
Comments:
-
750. Name: Cornelia Cassimatis on 2023-06-12 11:31:50
Comments:
-
751. Name: Helen Conomos on 2023-06-12 11:48:48
Comments: No heritage listing for St George Greek Orthodox Church.
-
752. Name: Despina Prassas on 2023-06-12 12:09:08
Comments:
-
753. Name: Jacob Doman on 2023-06-12 12:13:26
Comments:
-
754. Name: Terry Karkazis on 2023-06-12 12:15:47
Comments:
-
755. Name: Stephen Kranidiotis on 2023-06-12 12:22:49
Comments:
-
756. Name: Yiota Kranidiotis on 2023-06-12 12:23:51
Comments:
-

-
757. Name: George Angelopoulos on 2023-06-12 12:27:54
Comments:
-
758. Name: Matina Chambers on 2023-06-12 13:27:07
Comments:
-
759. Name: Joseph Galiano on 2023-06-12 15:03:23
Comments:
-
760. Name: Peter Stevens on 2023-06-12 16:38:14
Comments:
-
761. Name: Jeremy Kodos on 2023-06-12 17:01:25
Comments: Supportive of the church remaining non heritage listed.
-
762. Name: Nicholas Tsoulas on 2023-06-12 18:27:46
Comments:
-
763. Name: Sophia A on 2023-06-12 20:05:25
Comments:
-
764. Name: Angela Aspradakis on 2023-06-12 21:00:56
Comments:
-
765. Name: Theodoros Georgas on 2023-06-12 21:10:47
Comments: Community based church for at least 60 years. Have maintained and improved the church during this time. No one will provide better care and advice for this church than the community and parishioners that have been going here for the last 60 years.
-
766. Name: Francis Farmakidis on 2023-06-12 21:38:14
Comments: The church is a relatively recent construction and has a little if any architectural heritage value..
-
767. Name: Chris Savva on 2023-06-12 21:52:56
Comments:
-
768. Name: Sia Pisano on 2023-06-12 22:10:24
Comments:
-
769. Name: Felicia Kandilas on 2023-06-12 22:13:31
Comments:
-

770. Name: Toulia Vellis on 2023-06-12 22:38:37
Comments:

771. Name: Andrew Micos on 2023-06-12 22:48:36
Comments:

772. Name: Sandra Stavrou on 2023-06-12 22:50:25
Comments:

773. Name: Paul Skamvougeras on 2023-06-12 23:00:15
Comments:

774. Name: Mario Prodromou on 2023-06-12 23:09:57
Comments:

775. Name: Peter Cassimaty on 2023-06-12 23:20:38
Comments:

776. Name: Effie Cassimaty on 2023-06-12 23:22:20
Comments:

777. Name: Renee Lodens on 2023-06-12 23:32:17
Comments:

778. Name: Nicholas Manetakis on 2023-06-12 23:41:46
Comments:

779. Name: Thomi Coustas on 2023-06-12 23:46:42
Comments:

780. Name: Fotis Kalis on 2023-06-12 23:53:21
Comments:

781. Name: Steven Cooney on 2023-06-12 23:55:21
Comments:

782. Name: Emmanuel Petavrakis on 2023-06-13 00:26:24
Comments:

783. Name: Stan Connors on 2023-06-13 00:30:14
Comments: Please do not Heritage List St George Greek Orthodox Church at Rose Bay.
This will restrict the growth and development of this vibrant parish.

-
784. Name: James P on 2023-06-13 00:30:44
Comments:
-
785. Name: Lia Psaltis on 2023-06-13 00:50:58
Comments:
-
786. Name: James Kospetas on 2023-06-13 00:52:25
Comments:
-
787. Name: Helen Kranidiotis on 2023-06-13 00:58:36
Comments:
-
788. Name: DIMITRIOS JN POLYZOIDIS on 2023-06-13 01:23:43
Comments:
-
789. Name: Tolly Saivanidis on 2023-06-13 01:32:39
Comments:
-
790. Name: George Brooks on 2023-06-13 01:51:30
Comments:
-
791. Name: Manuel Meletis on 2023-06-13 01:54:07
Comments:
-
792. Name: Marcus Conpmos on 2023-06-13 01:57:32
Comments: The church was opened in 1964 and the architecture reflected that period which was not a good period and is not worthy of a heritage listing.
Further such a listing would cause hardship to the Parish which has gone through difficult times during and since Covid.
-
793. Name: Garry Pavlis on 2023-06-13 02:17:31
Comments:
-
794. Name: Cassi Lakis on 2023-06-13 02:45:24
Comments:
-
795. Name: Andrew gregg on 2023-06-13 02:46:46
Comments:
-
796. Name: Callie Hughes on 2023-06-13 03:03:54
Comments:

-
797. Name: Angela Nikolas on 2023-06-13 03:16:08
Comments:
-
798. Name: Dimitri Galanis on 2023-06-13 03:16:48
Comments:
-
799. Name: Emmanuel Kas on 2023-06-13 03:22:54
Comments:
-
800. Name: Nicholas Stavropoulos on 2023-06-13 03:27:18
Comments:
-
801. Name: Christopher Makhoul on 2023-06-13 03:28:10
Comments:
-
802. Name: Adriana Makhoul on 2023-06-13 03:29:35
Comments:
-
803. Name: Zoe Bolton on 2023-06-13 03:59:05
Comments:
-
804. Name: Thomas Thoma on 2023-06-13 04:02:47
Comments:
-
805. Name: Sotirios Tesseris on 2023-06-13 04:08:03
Comments:
-
806. Name: Roula Papadatos on 2023-06-13 04:20:18
Comments:
-
807. Name: Natalie Beylis Karasaridis on 2023-06-13 04:21:08
Comments:
-
808. Name: Sergio Argyrou on 2023-06-13 04:21:29
Comments:
-
809. Name: Ariane Alexiou on 2023-06-13 04:23:21
Comments:
-
810. Name: Georgia Argyrou on 2023-06-13 04:27:18
Comments:

-
811. Name: Eleni Squadrito on 2023-06-13 04:30:41
Comments:
-
812. Name: Marco Squadrito on 2023-06-13 04:31:54
Comments:
-
813. Name: Rea Vasili on 2023-06-13 04:32:40
Comments:
-
814. Name: George Argyrou on 2023-06-13 04:43:10
Comments:
-
815. Name: Argyros Argyrou on 2023-06-13 04:43:31
Comments:
-
816. Name: Thomas Argyrides on 2023-06-13 04:46:01
Comments:
-
817. Name: Andrew Argyrou on 2023-06-13 04:46:07
Comments:
-
818. Name: Penny Andricopoulos on 2023-06-13 04:48:44
Comments:
-
819. Name: Peter Sousamlis on 2023-06-13 04:54:50
Comments:
-
820. Name: Maria Churm on 2023-06-13 04:57:15
Comments:
-
821. Name: Constantine Souleles on 2023-06-13 04:59:06
Comments:
-
822. Name: Helena Alex on 2023-06-13 05:02:28
Comments:
-
823. Name: Christina Partsafas on 2023-06-13 05:22:25
Comments:
-
824. Name: Nicole Kypriotis on 2023-06-13 05:24:24
Comments:

-
825. Name: Georgia Koutsandrea on 2023-06-13 05:25:23
Comments: This is a too modern a building to be of heritage significance.
-
826. Name: Elyzia Menounos on 2023-06-13 05:42:39
Comments:
-
827. Name: Bruce McGowan on 2023-06-13 06:03:07
Comments: I believe that such a heritage listing is not justified - it is not compatible with the previous actions of Woollahra Council regarding development of older sites - on the other hand WMC allows individual developers to regularly flout rules and regulations throughout the municipality with no penalty or sanctions.
-
828. Name: Tony Lapanaitis on 2023-06-13 06:06:47
Comments:
-
829. Name: Zaynoun Atie on 2023-06-13 06:09:38
Comments:
-
830. Name: John Kallimanis on 2023-06-13 06:17:54
Comments:
-
831. Name: Ana Karkazis on 2023-06-13 06:19:17
Comments:
-
832. Name: Peter Andrews on 2023-06-13 06:46:17
Comments:
-
833. Name: James Gatis on 2023-06-13 06:48:15
Comments:
-
834. Name: George Dabassis on 2023-06-13 06:52:00
Comments:
-
835. Name: Trish Kastanias on 2023-06-13 06:53:03
Comments:
-
836. Name: Andreas Salouros on 2023-06-13 07:02:19
Comments:
-
837. Name: John Barbouttis on 2023-06-13 07:02:53
Comments: I oppose the St George Church Rose Bay being heritage listed.

838.	Name: Chrissy Comino	on 2023-06-13 07:11:38	Comments:
839.	Name: George Boukatos	on 2023-06-13 07:16:55	Comments:
840.	Name: Helen Stevens	on 2023-06-13 07:21:14	Comments:
841.	Name: Rene Condoleon	on 2023-06-13 07:26:11	Comments:
842.	Name: Costa Argyrou	on 2023-06-13 07:31:56	Comments: Nothing architecturally significant about this building
843.	Name: Con Tsigounis	on 2023-06-13 07:35:04	Comments:
844.	Name: Georgina cocokios	on 2023-06-13 07:38:06	Comments:
845.	Name: Julian	on 2023-06-13 07:59:32	Comments:
846.	Name: Joy Stavrou	on 2023-06-13 08:42:49	Comments: Praying for the best possible outcome
847.	Name: Susan Hetherington	on 2023-06-13 08:50:01	Comments:
848.	Name: Joanne Mayson	on 2023-06-13 09:02:22	Comments:
849.	Name: Maria Coolentianos	on 2023-06-13 09:07:27	Comments:
850.	Name: Lea Bellas	on 2023-06-13 09:19:03	Comments:
851.	Name: N Karadoukas	on 2023-06-13 09:32:01	Comments:

852. Name: Peter Aroney on 2023-06-13 10:00:55
Comments:

853. Name: Dora Kara on 2023-06-13 10:10:52
Comments:

854. Name: Bruno Pisano on 2023-06-13 10:27:24
Comments: No to heritage listing St George Greek Orthodox Church.

855. Name: George Georgeson on 2023-06-13 10:57:26
Comments:

856. Name: Tess Stamell on 2023-06-13 11:27:20
Comments:

857. Name: Steve Anastasiadis on 2023-06-13 11:29:08
Comments:

858. Name: Christopher T on 2023-06-13 11:42:50
Comments:

859. Name: Effie kates on 2023-06-13 11:50:26
Comments: I am against the heritage listing for our church

860. Name: Max Santhiran on 2023-06-13 11:58:54
Comments:

861. Name: Christopher Tsolakis on 2023-06-13 11:59:58
Comments:

862. Name: Gerasimos Danilatos on 2023-06-13 12:27:18
Comments: Oppose

863. Name: James Cassimatis on 2023-06-13 13:05:30
Comments:

864. Name: Irene Cassim on 2023-06-13 13:28:05
Comments:

865. Name: Leah Meletis on 2023-06-13 14:36:16
Comments:

-
866. Name: Vicki Meletis on 2023-06-13 19:13:51
Comments:
-
867. Name: Jade Lee on 2023-06-13 21:18:56
Comments:
-
868. Name: Chanel Shaya on 2023-06-13 21:34:00
Comments:
-
869. Name: Dimi on 2023-06-13 21:39:18
Comments:
-
870. Name: Damien Southall on 2023-06-13 22:31:19
Comments:
-
871. Name: MORGAN GILBERT on 2023-06-13 22:39:35
Comments:
-
872. Name: Angelo Iabarakis on 2023-06-13 22:41:05
Comments:
-
873. Name: Jack on 2023-06-13 23:10:26
Comments:
-
874. Name: Anna Argy on 2023-06-14 00:28:02
Comments: This building has no heritage value, in contrast to its spiritual value. Please look elsewhere.
-
875. Name: George Crones on 2023-06-14 01:24:41
Comments:
-
876. Name: Harry Andrews on 2023-06-14 01:34:44
Comments:
-
877. Name: Ben Gialouris on 2023-06-14 02:06:26
Comments:
-
878. Name: Maria S Varcados on 2023-06-14 03:50:37
Comments:
-
879. Name: anna calligeros on 2023-06-14 05:32:50

Comments: my parents were married here - my father buried and both my brother and I were christened here

880. Name: Neil Grant on 2023-06-14 05:35:33
Comments: No to heritage listing of church.

881. Name: Gina Glinatsis on 2023-06-14 05:39:27
Comments: RESPECT is Key in this matter

882. Name: Vicky Savellis on 2023-06-14 05:41:43
Comments: Do not support the heritage listing of the church.

883. Name: Alexis on 2023-06-14 05:56:50
Comments:

884. Name: Peter Pandelas on 2023-06-14 09:48:03
Comments:

885. Name: Irene kourtis on 2023-06-14 10:05:46
Comments:

886. Name: John Kourtis on 2023-06-14 10:10:19
Comments:

887. Name: James Kourtis on 2023-06-14 10:11:13
Comments:

888. Name: Marina hilellis on 2023-06-14 10:23:17
Comments:

889. Name: Christina on 2023-06-14 10:23:18
Comments:

890. Name: Marissa on 2023-06-14 10:41:44
Comments:

891. Name: Maria on 2023-06-14 12:13:32
Comments:

892. Name: Michele Karadoukas on 2023-06-14 13:15:41
Comments:


-
893. Name: Maria anastasiadis on 2023-06-14 13:38:05
Comments:
-
894. Name: Thomas kourtis on 2023-06-14 13:43:18
Comments:
-
895. Name: Constantinos Alabasinis on 2023-06-14 14:03:54
Comments:
-
896. Name: Angela A on 2023-06-14 14:05:44
Comments:
-
897. Name: DENISE LAZARUS on 2023-06-14 19:51:59
Comments:
-
898. Name: Peter Koupparis on 2023-06-14 20:33:05
Comments:
-
899. Name: Xenia Hilellis on 2023-06-14 21:21:59
Comments:
-
900. Name: Fotini Vourn on 2023-06-14 21:58:17
Comments:
-
901. Name: Aris Stavrou on 2023-06-14 22:17:21
Comments:
-
902. Name: Maria on 2023-06-14 22:48:13
Comments:
-
903. Name: Nici B on 2023-06-14 23:36:08
Comments:
-
904. Name: Elliot Miller on 2023-06-15 00:26:32
Comments:
-
905. Name: Simon Baldock on 2023-06-15 02:34:10
Comments: This is an overreach of the council's powers. The church is there to serve the community and I have witnessed this particular church doing that very well. This church absolutely should not be hamstrung with red tape in using and modifying its facility at any point to serve that community.
-

906. Name: Kyri Tsamoglou on 2023-06-15 03:44:55
Comments:
-
907. Name: Monika Kuether on 2023-06-15 03:46:00
Comments:
-
908. Name: Egon Kuether on 2023-06-15 03:46:36
Comments:
-
909. Name: Christina Kokinidis on 2023-06-15 03:46:58
Comments:
-
910. Name: Penny Poulos on 2023-06-15 03:47:22
Comments:
-
911. Name: John Max on 2023-06-15 03:47:58
Comments:
-
912. Name: Xenia Petrova on 2023-06-15 03:50:01
Comments:
-
913. Name: Mary Hourmas on 2023-06-15 06:48:21
Comments:
-
914. Name: Penelope Bistaros on 2023-06-15 07:28:26
Comments: I do not agree with a heritage listing of the St George Greek Orthodox Church Rose Bay
-
915. Name: Georgiana on 2023-06-15 07:45:40
Comments:
-
916. Name: Andrew on 2023-06-15 07:46:37
Comments:
-
917. Name: Ella Andis on 2023-06-15 07:47:30
Comments:
-
918. Name: Charlie Andis on 2023-06-15 07:48:14
Comments:
-
919. Name: Anastasia Marks on 2023-06-15 08:02:00
Comments:

-
920. Name: Andrew Marks on 2023-06-15 08:03:30
Comments:
-
921. Name: MARIA TSOUKARELLIS on 2023-06-15 08:14:05
Comments: My Greek Orthodox Church belongs to my Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of Australia. maria
-
922. Name: Peter Manesis on 2023-06-15 08:36:17
Comments: Please look after our Church our church is our community not a heritage listing
-
923. Name: Maria on 2023-06-15 08:37:38
Comments:
-
924. Name: Helen Karp on 2023-06-15 09:27:41
Comments:
-
925. Name: Nikolaos Vournovas on 2023-06-15 09:43:34
Comments:
-
926. Name: Maria Stivaktas on 2023-06-15 11:09:36
Comments: I strongly oppose St George parish being heritage listed.
-
927. Name: Andrew Coroneo on 2023-06-15 20:04:58
Comments: Well short of heritage values.
-
928. Name: Christina Schaeffer on 2023-06-15 20:20:07
Comments:
-
929. Name: Alan Gee on 2023-06-15 21:37:30
Comments: Say no to the heritage listing and give our beloved church a voice.
-

FULL NAME	EMAIL/ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Peter Aintel		
Alex Poulos		
Peter Karas		
THEO POULOS		
MARY POULOS		
POPPY KAR		
Harry Kar		
Dimitri KENTROTIS		
MARY BALACHANNIS		
SUE BALACHANNIS		
NABIL ROYHAEL		
ANGEL BOURBOUKIS		
YIANNIS BOURBOUKIS		
JOHN BOURBOUKIS		
PETRA BOURBOUKIS		
JOANNE BOURBOUKIS		
SPINOS GABRIEL		
LUCILA GABRIEL		
NICHOLAS GABRIEL		
ALEXANDER GABRIEL		
GEORGE GABRIEL		
EPINIKI MATS		
MARK TSAGANIS		
MARIL TSAGANIS		
ELENI TERIZIS		
Christos Terizis		
George Tsamoglou		
Joe Ritas		
Maria Ritas		
Chin Ritas		

30

FULL NAME	EMAIL/ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
ALICE KALAMBOKIDIS		
NICCLAS HIRE		
Helen Tsamoglou		
V. PAULOS		
S. JAMVOUKIS		
Elpidio Drosinos		
Kolyppi Heliou		
Maria Zoumbar		
Anna Maria Dimitriadis		
Dimitrios VENERIS		
NOMA FATSEAS		
MICHAEL HOSKING		
A. Balafox		
IRENE ANDREWS		
PETER ANDREWS		
PETER COOMBS		
HELEN COOMBS		
Georgina Kar		
Valli VRETTA		
Melinda Poules		
Peter Paulos		
ESTELLE KERAMEAS		
John KERAMEAS		
ALEXANDRA PETSOLOU		
25 CLEO KOULMANDAS		

10

2